HIGHLIGHTED ARTICLE



Ontogenetic changes in digestive enzyme activity and biochemical indices of larval and postlarval European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*, *L*)

Renata Goncalves¹ · Manuel Gesto¹ · Covadonga Rodríguez² · Diana B. Reis² · José A. Pérez² · Ivar Lund¹

Received: 10 August 2021 / Accepted: 23 February 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

The currently limited knowledge on the nutritional requirements of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) remains a major obstacle to the improvement of growth and survival rates in lobster farming. Therefore, digestive enzyme activity (trypsin, lipase, and amylase) and biochemical indices (RNA:DNA, proximate and lipid class composition) of larval (I–III) and postlarval (IV) stages of *H. gammarus* fed Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*) were determined to identify ontogenetic changes in digestive capacity and hence potential nutritional requirements. Activity of the three digestive enzymes was detected in all developmental stages examined, suggesting that *H. gammarus* is capable of exploiting a varied diet from stage I onwards. Amylase activity increased significantly in postlarvae denoting a shift towards a diet richer in carbohydrates after metamorphosis. Lipase activity increased progressively during the three larval stages but not further, pointing to a higher relevance of dietary lipids before metamorphosis. The decrease from 32 to 24% DM of protein in postlarvae was partially compensated by an increase in ash (from 21 to 29% DM), reflecting the increased contribution of the exoskeleton to their total body mass. Phosphatidylcholine (~20% total lipids), phosphatidylethanolamine (~14% total lipids), and cholesterol (~20% total lipids) were the most abundant lipid classes in the body composition of *H. gammarus* early stages, implying high dietary requirements for these compounds. The results presented here provide new insights into the metabolism and nutritional requirements of *H. gammarus* early stages, highlighting the importance of lipids during larval development and the increased relative importance of carbohydrates after metamorphosis.

Keywords Trypsin · Amylase · Lipase · Protein · Carbohydrate · Lipid · Cholesterol · Phospholipids · Larvae · Postlarvae

Introduction

The European lobster *Homarus gammarus* L. is an ecologically and economically important species inhabiting coastal areas from Northern Norway to Morocco and the Western Mediterranean (Triantafyllidis et al. 2005). During the 1960s and 1970s, there was a general decline in global catches with occasional stock collapses among H. gammarus populations, which led to the development of juvenile production for re-stocking purposes (Ellis et al. 2015). The cultivation of *H. gammarus* as an emerging species in aquaculture is an increasingly realistic approach to assist in a sustainable market supply (Drengstig and Bergheim 2013; Hinchcliffe et al. 2021) although, the low survival and growth rates are still major bottlenecks hampering its successful cultivation (Hinchcliffe et al. 2020). A low survival rate is particularly observed in the larval stages and has been often associated with intense cannibalism in communal rearing tanks (Powell et al. 2017). The low growth rates can be, at least partially, due to inadequate nutrition in early life stages because of a lack of knowledge about their digestive capabilities, digestive processes, and nutrient requirements (Powell et al. 2017; Hinchcliffe et al. 2020).

Like other nephropid lobsters, *H. gammarus* has a relatively short planktonic phase, going through 3 stages

Responsible Editor: A. Todgham.

Renata Goncalves rego@aqua.dtu.dk

¹ Section for Aquaculture, Technical University of Denmark, DTU Aqua, The North Sea Research Centre, 9850 Hirtshals, Denmark

² Departamento de Biología Animal, Edafología y Geología, Univerdad de La Laguna, 38206 San Cristóbal de La Laguna, Spain

(I-III) over a period that can vary from 10 days to 2 months depending on the water temperature (Nicosia and Lavalli 1999; Anger 2001). The final larval stage (III) leads to metamorphosis into the postlarval (stage IV), in which many of the morphological, anatomical, and physiological characteristics are considerably modified (Charmantier and Aiken 1991). The ability to use chemical cues to locate food (Kurmaly et al. 1990) and the development of complementary teeth in the gastric mill (Charmantier and Aiken 1991) appear at stage IV. Additionally, postlarvae (stage IV) start to develop more complex swimming capabilities, becoming progressively more benthic, until this behaviour is completely established by the first juvenile stage (stage V) (Ennis 1975). The aforementioned modifications point to important ontogenetic shifts in the digestive capability, feeding behavior, and dietary requirements of the homarid lobsters during their early development. This is also supported by dietary shifts documented for the American lobster, Homarus americanus. Both homarid species are generally classified as either omnivorous or carnivorous, feeding on a variety of planktonic and benthic organisms present in their natural habitat. More specifically, stomach content analysis in wild-caught specimens revealed that the natural diet of H. americanus larvae changes from being predominantly composed of copepods, diatoms, and gastropods in stages I and II to including a more substantial portion of decapod zoea and megalops in stage III (Nicosia and Lavalli 1999). Newly settled postlarval and early juvenile H. americanus were described to feed mainly on mesoplankton that can be found in suspension in their shelter habitats (Conklin 1995). Less is known about the natural diet or feeding habits of *H. gammarus* because they are rarely observed in the wild (Linnane et al. 2001).

In crustaceans, there is a correlation between diet and the digestive enzymes produced. In general, carnivorous species produce a wide range of proteases at high concentrations and are, therefore, capable of hydrolyzing high levels of dietary protein (Jonhston and Yellowless 1998). In contrast, herbivores and omnivores synthesize a greater variety and amount of carbohydrases according to their increased capacity to hydrolyze plant and animal dietary carbohydrates (Jonhston and Yellowless 1998). In this sense, ontogenetic changes in enzymatic activity may be indicative of shifts in the ability to hydrolyze different dietary components (Rodriguez et al. 1994). This has been investigated in several decapod species including shrimps (Lovett and Felder 1990; Lemos et al. 1999, 2002; Ribeiro and Jones 2000; Díaz et al. 2008), crabs (Andrés et al. 2010), spiny lobsters (Johnston 2003; Perera et al. 2008), and homarid lobsters (Biesiot and Capuzzo 1990a). Protease, carbohydrase, and lipase or esterase activities have been detected in all referenced species from hatching, suggesting the capacity to hydrolyze different dietary components and to exploit a variety of nutrient

sources to meet nutritional requirements. In general, data indicate a higher activity of enzymes involved in lipid digestion at earlier stages (Perera et al. 2008; Andrés et al. 2010) with an increase in amylase activity after metamorphosis (Lovett and Felder 1990; Ribeiro and Jones 2000; Johnston 2003). The pattern for protease activity during development was not as clear, varying considerably between crustacean species. In *H. americanus*, total enzyme activities generally increase during early development as the number and length of the tubules comprising the hepatopancreas increases (Biesiot and McDowell 1995). The digestive enzyme activity of H. gammarus has only been reported in juvenile and adult stages where a range of proteases (trypsin, elastase, leucine aminopeptidase, and carboxypeptidase a and b) (Glass and Stark 1994) and carbohydrases (amylase, maltase, α and β -glucosidases) (Glass and Stark 1995) activities have been detected in the hepatopancreas of wild-caught adult specimens. More recently, Goncalves et al. (2021) demonstrated that digestive enzyme activities (trypsin, amylase, and lipase) in juvenile H. gammarus (stages VII-VIII) are modulated by the dietary composition. However, there is no reported information about the ontogenetic variation in digestive enzyme activity in H. gammarus larvae and postlarvae.

Studies on the biochemical changes during early development might be indicative of the type of energy source used during ontogeny, and therefore, could be a valid approach to estimate nutritional requirements at each stage of development. In the H. americanus, protein is considered the main source of energy but lipids and carbohydrates also have an important contribution to the energy yield through larval stages I-III (Sasaki et al. 1986). After metamorphosis into stage IV, the dependence on lipids as an energy substrate decreases (Sasaki et al. 1986). The RNA:DNA index has been previously used to evaluate the effect of nutrient limitation on the growth of H. gammarus larvae (Schoo et al. 2014). In the cited study, the authors observed that imbalances in dietary nitrogen and phosphorus caused a decrease in the RNA:DNA ratio. Lipid utilization during embryogenesis has been previously studied in H. gammarus and results showed that neutral lipids are the main energy source during embryonic development, while polar lipids are not catabolized and play mainly a structural role (Rosa et al. 2005). Additionally, a close relationship between the physiological condition of the broodstock and their reproductive success has been demonstrated for marine organisms including lobsters (Agnalt 2008; Moland et al. 2010). More specifically, it was demonstrated that the inclusion of specific phospholipids in formulated feeds improved the nutritional status of the broodstock, gonad development, and egg and larvae quality (Navas et al. 1997; Rodríguez-García et al. 2015). Yet, to the best of our knowledge, there are no published studies on the ontogenetic changes in lipid class composition during larval development for *H. gammarus*.

The main objective of this study was to examine the digestive enzyme activity (trypsin, amylase, and lipase) during *H. gammarus* early development (stage I–IV) to elucidate the potential role of ontogeny on lobster's ability to utilize proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids. Thawed Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*) was used as feed in all stages. The RNA and DNA concentration, proximate composition, and lipid class profile were determined to assess larval and postlarval energy metabolism and specific requirements.

Materials and methods

Larval rearing and sampling

Experiments were conducted at the aquaculture facilities at the National Institute of Aquatic Resources, DTU Aqua, Section for Aquaculture, Hirtshals (Denmark). Larvae were obtained from three ovigerous wild-caught H. gammarus females (A, B, and C) captured along the Skagerrak coast of North Jutland, Denmark. Newly hatched larvae were collected from broodstock tanks and transferred to 46-L cylindroconical transparent acrylic tanks. Larvae of different females were reared separately and stocked into tanks over three consecutive days after hatching at an initial density of 9–11 larvae L^{-1} . Tanks were part of a flow-through seawater system composed of a 10 m³ reservoir, a heat exchanger, and a header aeration tank. Each larval tank was equipped with a bottom seawater inlet at a constant flow rate of 40 L h⁻¹ and a vertical outflow filter (0.7 mm mesh size). Strong aeration was provided from the bottom using air stones to maintain larvae in the water column. During the experiment, abiotic conditions were kept constant: temperature 19.6 ± 0.7 °C, salinity 34 ± 1 PSU, and dissolved oxygen > 90%. Larvae were subjected to an 8 h:16 h light:dark photoperiod cycle. Thawed Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) (Akudim A/S, Denmark) was supplied from hatching and onwards according to the following schedule: from 0 to 4 DAH (day after hatching), 15 g tank⁻¹ day⁻¹; from 5 to 8 DAH, 10 g tank⁻¹ day⁻¹; from 9 to 12 DAH, 8 g tank⁻¹ day⁻¹; from 13 DAH onwards, 5 g tank⁻¹ day⁻¹. Total daily amounts were evenly distributed three times per day (9:00 h; 13:00 h; 17:00 h). The diet supplied 69% protein, 11% lipid, and 5% carbohydrate on a dry weight basis.

Six pools of lobster individuals (stages I-IV) were sampled per stage and per female following the sampling schedule of Table 1. Larvae were collected from the rearing tanks before the first daily meal, lethally anesthetized in ice-cold seawater, rinsed in distilled water, and stored at - 80 °C until further analysis. Carapace length (CL) was measured for 20 individuals per stage and per female using a stereomicroscope (MC125 C, Leica, Germany) equipped with a digital camera (MC190 HD, Leica, Germany) to photograph the larvae and postlarvae. Carapace length, from the base of the eye socket to the posterior edge of the cephalothorax, was measured using Image J 1.52 n software (University of Wisconsin, USA). Body dry weight (DW) was recorded for 20 freeze-dried individuals to the nearest 0.001 mg using a microbalance (Mettler Toledo, USA). Three sample pools collected per treatment (pools 1, 2, and 3) were freeze-dried and divided into sub-samples for digestive enzyme activities (1 individual larva/postlarva per pool), nucleic acids (1 individual larva/postlarva per pool), and proximate composition analysis (the remaining larvae/postlarvae per pool). The remaining three pools (pools 4, 5, and 6) were used fresh for the determination of lipid class composition.

Digestive enzyme activities

Total enzyme activity was measured in three individual larvae and postlarvae per stage and female (one individual from each of the three freeze-dried pools). Whole-body individuals were mechanically homogenized in ice-cold Milli-Q water, centrifuged (10 min at 15,800g) and the supernatant used to assay enzymatic activity. Amylase activity was determined with a commercial kit (Ultra Amylase Assay kit E33651, Thermo Scientific, USA). Trypsin and lipase were assayed using the methods of Rotllant et al. (2008) modified

	_											
Stage	I			II			III			IV		
Female	A	В	С	A	В	С	A	В	С	A	В	С
DAH	2	2	2	5–6	5–6	5–6	11–12	11–12	10-12	11-18	13–18	12–14
N (pools)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
N (per pool)	50	50	50	40	40	40	30	30	30	15	15	15
N (total)	300	300	300	240	240	240	180	180	180	90	90	90

Table 1 Sampling time and number of Homarus gammarus larvae collected per stage and female lobster

DAH days after hatching

as described in Goncalves et al. (2021). All enzyme activities are expressed as Relative Fluorescence Units (RFU) per individual. Results are expressed as total enzyme activity per individual because homogenates from the whole body, rather than the hepatopancreas, were used for the digestive enzyme analysis given the small size of the lobsters used in this study.

Nucleic acids determination

RNA and DNA were quantified in the freeze-dried abdominal section of three individual lobsters (one individual from each of the three freeze-dried pools) for each developmental stage and female following procedures described previously (Goncalves et al. 2021). Briefly, the abdominal samples were chemically (cold sarcosyl Tris-EDTA extraction buffer) and mechanically homogenized in an ultrasonic homogenizer unit (4710 Series, Cole Parmer Instruments Co., USA). The concentration of RNA and DNA was quantified in the supernatant extract in analytical duplicates. A specific nucleic acid fluorochrome dye GelRED was used for the fluorescent reading at 365 nm (excitation) and 590 nm (emission). Following the first scan to determine the total fluorescence of RNA and DNA, a ribonuclease A (Type-II A) solution was used to degrade RNA at 37 °C for 30 min. A second scan measured the concentration of DNA, calculated directly from a standard curve of DNA-GelRED with known concentrations of λ-phagus DNA (Roche, Switzerland). The RNA fluorescence was calculated by subtracting the DNA fluorescence (second scan) from total fluorescence (first scan) and the concentration determined using a standard curve of 16S-23S E. coli RNA (Roche, Switzerland). The average ratio of DNA and RNA slopes (average \pm SD) was 5.86 \pm 0.01. The RNA/DNA ratios were standardized (sRD) using DNA and RNA slope ratios and the reference slope ratio of 2.4 (Caldarone et al. 2006). The concentration of nucleic acids is expressed as μg of RNA or DNA per mg of abdominal tissue DW.

Proximate chemical composition

Proximate composition was analysed in three of the six pools of whole-body samples collected per female and developmental stage. Briefly, each pool was freeze-dried for dry matter (DM) determination. Further biochemical analyses were performed on freeze-dried samples and corrected for the dry weight (DW). From each pool, three subsamples of 40 mg, 40 mg, and 5 mg DW each were collected for the determination of protein, lipid, and ash content, respectively. Protein was analysed spectrophotometrically at 750 nm using a commercial Lowry-based micro-protein determination kit (BIO-RAD 500–0112, USA). Total lipids (TL) were extracted with chloroform–methanol (2:1 by volume) according to the Folch method (Christie and Han 2010). The organic solvent was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen and lipid content was determined gravimetrically. Ash was determined following the procedure described in (NMKL 23 1991).

Lipid class composition

Lipid classes (LC) were analysed in the lipid fraction of three pools (4, 5, and 6) of fresh whole-body samples per developmental stage and female. Total lipid was extracted by homogenization of approximately 150 mg lobster tissue wet weight as described above. Subsequently, an aliquot of 30 µg from the TL extract was separated on a 10×10 cm HPTLC plates (Merck KGaG, Germany) by high-performance thinlayer chromatography (HPTLC) in a single-dimensional double-development using propanol/chloroform/methyl acetate/methanol/0.25% potassium chloride (5:5:5:2:1.8, v/v) as developing solvent for polar lipid classes, and hexane/diethyl ether/acetic acid (20:5:0.5, v/v) for the neutral fractions. The different LC were visualized by charring at 160 °C after spraying with 3% (w/v) aqueous cupric acetate containing 8% (v/v) phosphoric acid and quantified as a percentage (%) of TL using a CAMAG TLC Visualizer (Camag, Switzerland). Data of each lipid class were then transformed into absolute amounts (µg/mg WW) taking into account the samples total lipid contents and wet weight.

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as mean \pm SEM unless otherwise specified. Before analyses, the ANOVA assumptions of normality of residuals and homogeneity of variances were tested using the Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's test, respectively. In instances where assumptions were not met, data were square-rooted or log-transformed. Carapace length and dry weight per developmental stage were compared in a one-way ANOVA and whenever significant differences were detected (p < 0.05), comparisons between the different batches were performed using the Tukey post hoc test. For the remaining analyzed parameters, comparisons were performed using a two-way ANOVA considering stage and female as explanatory variables. When differences were significant (p < 0.05), treatment means were compared using the Holm-Sidak post hoc test. Additionally, a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the absolute amounts of each lipid class, protein, and ash in whole body larvae (stages I, II, and III) and postlarvae (stage IV) H. gammarus. The PCA analysis was carried out using R version 3.5.1 software (R Core Team 2018) and the factoextra version 1.07 package (Kassambara and Mundt 2020). All statistical tests were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 (IBM Corp., USA). Graphics

were generated by GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, USA).

Results

Female performance and larvae size

Broodstock size and spawning performance are summarized in Table 2. The three female breeders weighed 0.6 kg $(\bigcirc B)$, 1.5 kg $(\bigcirc A)$, and 1.7 kg $(\bigcirc C)$. The largest female spawned over 15,000 larvae during 23 days of the spawning period, while the other two females had equal spawning duration (18 days) with a similar number of spawned larvae (6000–8000). The mean individual dry weight and carapace length per developmental stage of H. gammarus larvae and postlarvae are also summarized in Table 2. Stage I larvae grew from 1.87 ± 0.05 mg DW and 3.07 ± 0.05 mm CL to 7.01 ± 0.33 mg DW and 5.37 ± 0.04 mm CL at stage IV postlarvae. The size of larvae at each developmental stage was significantly affected by the broodstock. Thus, stage I and III larvae hatched from female C (1.7 kg) were the largest (DW and CL), followed by larvae from female A (1.5 kg), and ultimately those from female B (0.6 kg) in which, stage II and IV lobsters also presented the lowest DW and CL.

Digestive enzyme activities and ratios

The digestive enzyme activities of the three batches of larvae within each development stage are compared in Fig. 1. There was a trend towards an increase in amylase and lipase total activity during larval development whereas no clear trend was observed for trypsin. Page 5 of 14 53

Ontogenetic changes in trypsin were dependent on the broodstock as shown by the significant interaction stage × female ($F_{6.35} = 2.52$, p = 0.049). No ontogenetic changes in trypsin activity were observed for lobsters hatched from female A (Fig. 1). However, the trypsin activity increased significantly from stage II to stage IV in individuals hatched from female B, and diminished from stage I to stage II in larvae from female C. Within stages, the trypsin activity was significantly higher for female C progenv than for female A progeny at stage I, while at stage IV, significantly higher trypsin was observed in the offspring from female B than from female C. Amylase activity was significantly affected by the main factors stage ($F_{3,35} = 10.25$, p < 0.001) and female ($F_{2.35} = 12.86, p < 0.001$). The amylase activity remained stable from stage I to III and increased significantly from stage III to IV. Its overall activity was the lowest for lobsters hatched from female B. Lipase activity was also significantly affected by stage $(F_{3,35} = 32.81,$ p < 0.001) and female ($F_{2.35} = 12.52$, p < 0.001). A significant increase was detected from stage I to II, and again from stage II to III, and remained stable after metamorphosis (stage IV). The overall lipase activity was also significantly lower for female B offspring as compared to A and C.

Nucleic acids

The ontogenetic variation in the concentration of the nucleic acids (RNA and DNA) and their standardized ratio (sRD) is illustrated in Fig. 2. There was no significant effect of the main factor female or of the interaction stage × female on the sRD ($F_{2,35} = 0.04$, p = 0.96 and $F_{6,35} = 1.68$, p = 0.17) RNA ($F_{2,35} = 2.82$, p = 0.08 and $F_{6,35} = 2.09$, p = 0.09), and DNA ($F_{2,35} = 2.82$, p = 0.08

Table 2 Homarus gammarusfemales' size and performance(body wet weight, larvae spawn,and spawning duration) andlarvae / postlarvae growth (bodydry weight and carapace length)

Female	А	В	С	Mean per stage	One-way ANOVA
Progenitor size and perfor	mance				
Body weight (kg)	1.5	0.6	1.7		
Larvae spawned (N)	6018	8338	15296		
Spawning duration (days)	18	18	23		
Progeny dry weight (mg)					
Stage I	1.94 ± 0.05 ^b	1.44 ± 0.04 ^c	2.22 ± 0.03 a	1.87 ± 0.05	$F_{2,59} = 88.76^{***}$
Stage II	$2.77\pm0.06~^a$	$1.95\pm0.08~^{\rm b}$	2.92 ± 0.11 ^a	2.55 ± 0.07	$F_{2,59} = 43.72^{***}$
Stage III	$5.01\pm0.23~^{\rm b}$	$3.69\pm0.16^{\rm \ c}$	5.87 ± 0.15 a	4.86 ± 0.16	$F_{2,59} = 35.71^{***}$
Stage IV	8.73 ± 0.59 a	5.12 ± 0.24^{b}	7.18 ± 0.51 ^a	7.01 ± 0.33	$F_{2,59} = 17.68^{***}$
Progeny carapace length (mm)				
Stage I	3.06 ± 0.03^{b}	2.93 ± 0.02 ^c	3.21 ± 0.01^{a}	3.07 ± 0.02	$F_{2,59} = 38.83^{***}$
Stage II	$3.89\pm0.04~^a$	3.40 ± 0.06^{b}	$3.94 \pm 0.03^{\ a}$	3.74 ± 0.04	$F_{2,59} = 41.32^{***}$
Stage III	$4.54 \pm 0.04 \ ^{b}$	4.16 ± 0.06 ^c	$4.71\pm0.04~^a$	4.47 ± 0.04	$F_{2,59} = 39.17^{***}$
Stage IV	5.44 ± 0.05^{a}	$5.09\pm0.07~^{\rm b}$	5.56 ± 0.04 ^a	5.37 ± 0.04	$F_{2,59} = 19.70^{***}$

Values are means \pm SEM of 20 replicates. Different superscript letters "a, b, c" within the same row indicate significant differences between females.^{***} p < 0.001





Fig. 1 Total activity of trypsin, amylase, and lipase for developmental stages of *Homarus gammarus*, obtained from whole-body homogenates (n=3). Data points represent each replicate. Activity expressed as Relative Fluorescence Units (RFU) per individual. Different letters "x, y" and "a, b, c" indicate significant differences between females and developmental stages, respectively

and $F_{6,35} = 1.68$, p = 0.17) content, respectively. In contrast, the main factor stage significantly affected the RNA ($F_{3,35} = 3.74$, p = 0.025) and DNA ($F_{3,35} = 7.25$, p = 0.001) contents in the abdominal section of *H. gammarus* larvae

Fig. 2 Changes in RNA concentration, DNA concentration, and standardized RNA/DNA ratio (sRD) for developmental stages of *Homarus gammarus*, obtained from abdominal tissue homogenates (n=9). Bars represented as mean \pm SEM. Different letters "*a*, *b*" indicate significant differences between developmental stages

and postlarvae. A significant reduction in RNA concentration towards later stages was identified by the two-way ANOVA, although the Holm Sidak post hoc test failed in identifying differences between stages. Similar but more larvae pronounced was the reduction for the DNA concentration which gradually and significantly decreased from stage I to IV.

Proximate and lipid class composition

The proximate biochemical and lipid class composition (% TL) of the whole-body larvae and postlarvae H. gammarus is summarized in Table 3. In addition, Table 4 displays the statistics (two-way ANOVA) on the effect of the stage, female, and their interaction on the body composition of H. gammarus. The DM content of larvae and postlarvae was affected by the interaction stage \times female. Significant ontogenetic changes in DM were only observed in larvae hatched from female C, for which the DM content of stage IV postlarvae was significantly lower as compared to stage I and III. Within stages, DM was the highest in stage I larvae hatched from female C and the lowest in stage III larvae hatched from female B. The DM content in stage IV postlarvae hatched from female A was significantly lower than in postlarvae hatched from females B and C. The ash content was also significantly affected by the interaction between stage and female and was significantly higher after metamorphosis as compared to the first three pelagic stages for female A and C offspring, while for female B progeny the ash content was significantly higher in stage IV as compared to stage I and III. There was a trend towards a significant reduction in protein content during ontogenetic development for which protein level was significantly lower in stage IV postlarvae than in stage I larvae.

Phosphatidylcholine (PC 16.7-22.9% of TL) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE 11.9-16.6% of TL) were the dominant phospholipids in H. gammarus larvae and postlarvae, while the major neutral lipid component was cholesterol (CHO 17.0-22.5%) (Table 3). Overall, the polar lipid fractions remained fairly stable regardless of larval stage and female whereas variations within the neutral lipid profile were more evident. Thus, the only significant differences found between phospholipids were the higher phosphatidylserine portion for stage II larvae than for stage I and III, and the higher phosphatidylglycerol content in lobsters hatched from female C than from female A. Interestingly, the free fatty acids (FFA) level significantly decreased from stage III to IV. In addition, both triacylglycerol (TAG) and sterol esters (SE) levels were significantly affected by the interaction stage × female. The TAG content tended to increase with age (stage III and IV) for larvae hatched from females A and B, but no significant changes among stages were detected in lobsters from female C. Only minor differences within each particular stage existed in TAG and SE contents of lobster larvae (Tables 3 and 4). Ontogenetic changes for the SE levels were only observed in batch C, for which SE content was significantly higher in stage III larvae as compared to stage IV postlarvae. The total polar lipid content was significantly affected larval stage being significantly higher for stage II larvae than for stage IV postlarvae and, as expected, the inverse was observed for the overall neutral lipids content.

A principal component analysis (PCA) was also carried out using the absolute amounts (µg per mg of wet weight) of each lipid class, protein, and ash in whole body larvae and postlarvae as variables to show the differences between developmental stage and female regarding biochemical composition (Fig. 3). Two principal components (PC) accounted for 65.4% of the variability (PC1 52.0% and PC2 13.4%). From all variables, the phospholipids PI, PS, and PE showed the highest loadings in the PC1 (-0.358, -0.352, and-0.351, respectively). Protein (0.589), ash (-0.443), and the neutral lipid TAG (-0.411) showed the highest loadings in the PC2. In panel A, samples were clustered by developmental stage. Stage IV postlarvae formed a cluster to the bottom right area of the plot indicative of a positive correlation with ash and TAG contents and a negative correlation with protein level. Stage I, II, and III are distributed in a more central area of the PCA plot. Panel B clustered samples by female and no clear separation was detected among the three different groups.

Discussion

Female performance and larvae size

Both the body DW and CL of H. gammarus varied significantly between the three batches of larvae throughout the ontogenetic development. Results suggest a positive correlation between the size of broodstock and the size of offspring as previously demonstrated by Moland et al. (2010), which could be related to the fact that smaller, and presumably, younger H. gammarus females are more likely to moult between each spawning as compared to older females. Consequently, ovigerous females will allocate an important amount of energy to the moulting process that could, otherwise, be invested in embryonic development (Agnalt 2008). Also observed was a large variation in the numbers of hatched larvae, probably related to genetic and condition-related effects but the loss of different egg mass volume among the three females during handling at captured cannot be completely ruled out in the present study.

Digestive enzymes ontogenetic trends

No clear variation pattern was identified for the activity of the protease trypsin during larval development. This corroborates the results obtained in previous studies for other decapod species. For example, Lemos et al. (2002) observed that trypsin activity was highest in nauplius IV and protozoea I and III of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus schmitii* but

FemaleABPraximate compositionDry matter15.7 \pm 0.3 43 14.7Dry matter15.7 \pm 0.3 43 32.7Protein33.0 \pm 3.932.7Protein33.0 \pm 3.932.7Protein33.0 \pm 3.932.7Protein33.0 \pm 3.932.7Protein0.1 \pm 0.10.1platidyl-0.1 \pm 0.10.1content2.0 \pm 0.52.6Phosphatidyl-20.4 \pm 1.520.7Phosphatidyl-20.4 \pm 1.520.7	3±0.3 ^y 3±1.1 ^b 2±1.8 ±0.4	C 16.8±0.3ª.x	Mean												JIASC IV
Proximate composition Dry matter 15.7 ± 0.3^{3V} $14.$: Ash 20.4 ± 0.2^{b} $23.$: Protein 33.0 ± 3.9 $32.$: Protein 33.0 ± 3.9 $32.$: Protein 33.0 ± 1.6 44 Content 6.1 ± 1.6 44 Lipid classes 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 content 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 Lipid classes 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 content 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- $0.0.5 \pm 0.5$ 2.6 sphingomy- 2.04 ± 1.5 20° choline 0.04 ± 1.5 20°	3±0.3 ^y 3±1.1 ^b 2±1.8 ±0.4	$16.8 \pm 0.3^{4,x}$		A	В	C	Mean	A	в	С	Mean	A	в	С	Mean
Dry matter 15.7 \pm 0.3 ³ / ³ 14.3 Ash 20.4 \pm 0.2 ⁶ 23.3 Protein 33.0 \pm 3.9 32.3 Protein 33.0 \pm 3.9 32.4 Protein 33.0 \pm 3.9 32.4 Protein 33.0 \pm 3.9 32.5 Protein 0.1 \pm 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- 0.1 \pm 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- 2.0 \pm 0.5 2.6 choline 2.0 \pm 0.5 2.6 choline 2.0 \pm 0.5 2.6 choline 2.0 \pm 1.5 2.0	3±0.3 ^y 3±1.1 ^b 2±1.8 ±0.4	$16.8 \pm 0.3^{a,x}$													
Ash 20.4 ± 0.2^b $23:$ Protein 33.0 ± 3.9 32.5 Total lipid 6.1 ± 1.6 4.4 content 3.1 ± 1.6 4.4 Lipid classes 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 Lipid classes 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 content 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 chline 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 phatidyl- 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 choline 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6	3±1.1 ^b 2±1.8 ±0.4		15.6 ± 0.4	15.6 ± 0.7	14.7 ± 0.1	15.0 ± 0.3^{ab}	15.1 ± 0.3	17.2 ± 0.4^{x}	14.5 ± 0.3^{y}	$17.5 \pm 0.4^{a,x}$	16.4 ± 0.5	$18.0 \pm 1.7^{\mathrm{x}}$	12.9 ± 0.6^{y}	13.5 ± 0.5^{by}	14.8 ± 1.0
Protein 3.0 ± 3.9 32.7 Total lipid 6.1 ± 1.6 4.4 content <i>Lipid classes</i> 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- choline 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 Sphingomy- 2.0 ± 1.5 20° thosphatidyl- 20.4 ± 1.5 20°	2±1.8 ±0.4	$21.0\pm0.6^{\rm bc}$	21.6 ± 0.6	$21.7\pm1.1^{\rm b}$	$24.0\pm2.1^{\rm ab}$	$24.9\pm0.8^{\rm b}$	23.5 ± 0.8	$21.9\pm1.0^{\rm b,x}$	$23.7 \pm 0.6^{b.x}$	17.3 ± 1.4^{cy}	21.0 ± 1.1	28.6 ± 0.9^{a}	$28.6\pm1.4^{\rm a}$	$29.8\pm1.6^{\rm a}$	29.0 ± 0.7
Total lipid 6.1 ± 1.6 $4.4.$ content 6.1 ± 1.6 $4.4.$ <i>Lipid classes</i> $1.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$ 0.1 phatidyl- $0.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$ 0.1 choline 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 Sphingomy- 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 choline $2.0.4 \pm 1.5$ $20'$ cholinecholine	±0.4	29.6 ± 2.6	$31.6\pm1.5^{\Lambda}$	33.9 ± 4.7	30.0 ± 5.7	27.7 ± 2.3	$30.5\pm2.4^{\mathrm{AB}}$	34.3 ± 1.6	26.3 ± 3.7	32.1 ± 0.9	$30.9\pm1.7^{\mathrm{AB}}$	23.1 ± 2.5	23.0 ± 1.5	25.8 ± 3.9	$24.0\pm1.5^{\mathrm{B}}$
Lipid classes Lipid classes 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1 phatidyl- choline 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 sphingomy- 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 elin thous 0.1 ± 1.5 2.0 .		6.9 ± 1.6	5.8 ± 0.8	4.8 ± 0.6	5.1 ± 0.6	5.6 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 0.4	4.8 ± 1.3	5.9 ± 0.7	4.1±1.1	4.9 ± 0.6	3.9 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 1.1	5.1±1.7	4.4 ± 0.6
Lysophos- 0.1 ± 0.1 0.1. phaidyl- choline Sphingomy- 2.0 ± 0.5 2.6 elin phosphaidyl- 20.4 ± 1.5 20.1 choline															
Sphingomy- 2.0±0.5 2.6; elin Phosphatidyl- 20.4±1.5 20. ⁴ choline	±0.0	0.1 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.0±0.0	0.1 ± 0.0
Phosphatidyl- 20.4 ± 1.5 20.5 choline	±0.7	1.8 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.3	2.5 ± 0.8	3.1 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.4	2. 8 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 0.4
	9 ± 1.0	20.0 ± 0.5	20.4 ± 0.6	22.9 ± 0.7	21.4±2.1	21.1 ± 0.8	21.8 ± 0.7	19.1±1.1	17.3 ± 1.5	20.9 ± 3.1	19.1 ± 1.2	16.7 ± 1.2	18.7 ± 1.7	19.8 ± 1.7	18.4 ± 0.9
Phosphatidyl- 4.7 ± 0.1 4.5 serine	5±0.4	3.9 ± 0.1	$4.4\pm0.2^{\mathrm{B}}$	5.2 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.4	$5.1\pm0.1^{\Lambda}$	3.7 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.2	$4.1 \pm 0.2^{\mathrm{B}}$	4.0 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 0.6	4.4 ± 0.1	$4.4\pm0.3^{\mathrm{AB}}$
Phosphati- 4.1 ± 0.2 4.4 dylinositol	±0.3	3.9 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.2	4.6 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.3	4.3 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.2
Phosphatidyl- 4.7 ± 0.9 4.6 glycerol	±0.2	4.5 ± 0.4	4.6 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 1.0	4.8 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.4	4.9 ± 0.4	4.3 ± 0.3	5.0 ± 0.4	5.7±0.6	5.0 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.2^{x}	4.9 ± 0.3^{xy}	5.7 ± 0.2^{y}	4.7 ± 0.3
Phosphatidy- 13.1 ± 0.7 13. lethanola- mine	9±0.3	13.6 ± 0.9	13.5 ± 0.4	16. 6±0.6	14.2 ± 0.5	14.4 ± 0.5	15.1 ± 0.5	12.4±1.0	13.3 ± 1.0	14.9±1.3	13.5 ± 0.7	11.9 ± 0.9	13.7 ± 1.4	15.5 ± 1.2	13.7 ± 0.8
$UK_{(a)}$ 0.5±0.5 0.9	±0.2	0.8 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.2
Total polar 49.7±2.5 51 lipids	8±2.3	48.6 ± 1.3	$50.0 \pm 1.2^{\mathrm{AB}}$	56.9 ± 1.5	53.6±3.1	55.1±1.6	$55.2\pm1.2^{\rm A}$	46.2±2.7	46. 1±1.5	54.7±4.5	$49.0\pm2.1^{\mathrm{AB}}$	42.5 ± 3.9	48.6±3.2	53.4±2.4	$48.2\pm2.3^{\rm B}$
Mono- 6.0±0.7 3.1 acylglycer- ols+Dia- cylglycerols	±0.7	2.6±0.6	3.9±0.6	4.0±0.6	3.5 ± 0.5	3.0±0.5	3.5 ± 0.3	2.7±0.7	3.6±0.4	3.2±0.1	3.1 ± 0.3	3.2±1.5	3.4±0.4	2.5 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.5
Cholesterol 22.0 ± 1.6 19.	2 ± 0.7	21.0 ± 1.0	20.7 ± 0.7	22.5 ± 1.1	21.4 ± 1.0	18.8 ± 1.2	20.9 ± 0.8	17.0 ± 1.0	19.5 ± 1.3	20.4 ± 3.0	19.0 ± 1.1	18.4 ± 0.8	20.5 ± 2.0	21.3 ± 1.9	20.0 ± 0.9
Free Fatty 8.7 ± 1.5 10. Acids	1 ± 0.5	7.4 ± 1.3	8.7 ± 0.7^{AB}	8.5 ± 1.6	11.9 ± 1.2	7.9±1.5	$9.5\pm1.0^{\mathrm{AB}}$	10.7 ± 1.9	13.5 ± 0.8	10.1 ± 4.1	$11.4 \pm 1.4^{\Lambda}$	6.6 ± 0.5	8.0 ± 0.7	7.1 ± 0.6	$7.2\pm0.4^{\mathrm{B}}$
Triacylglyc- $6.3\pm0.3^{\rm b}$ 8.2 erols	$\pm 0.8^{ab}$	11.9 ± 1.0	8.8 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 0.2^{b}	$2.6\pm0.7^{\rm b}$	5.1 ± 0.6	4.1 ± 0.5	$17.9 \pm 1.6^{a,x}$	$8.4 \pm 1.5^{\mathrm{ab.y}}$	5.9 ± 1.2^{y}	10.7 ± 2.0	$25.3 \pm 4.3^{a,x}$	$14.9 \pm 4.5^{a.y}$	10.2 ± 3.3^{y}	16.8 ± 3.0
Sterol Esters 6.7 ± 1.0 5.5	$\pm 1.4^{ab}$	5.7 ± 0.4	5.9 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 1.4^{y}	$5.1 \pm 2.9^{\mathrm{ab,xy}}$	7.9 ± 1.2^{x}	5.4 ± 1.2	3.4 ± 0.8^{y}	$8.9 \pm 0.9^{4.x}$	4.3 ± 1.2^{y}	5.5 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.3^{b}	3.4 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.2
$UK_{(b)}$ 0.7±0.7 2.3.	±0.3	2.8 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.5^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	1.4 ± 1.1^{ab}	1.2 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.3
Total neutral 50.3 ± 2.5 48 lipids	2±2.3	51.4±1.3	$50.0 \pm 1.1^{\mathrm{AB}}$	43.1 ± 1.5	46.4±3.1	44.9 ± 1.6	$44.8 \pm 1.2^{\rm B}$	53.8±2.7	53.9 ± 1.5	45.3±4.5	51.0 ± 2.1^{AB}	57.5 ± 3.9	51.4±3.2	46.6±2.4	$51.8\pm2.3^{\Lambda}$

(2022) 169:53

Values are means $\pm\, \rm SEM$ of three replicates per treatment. UK unknown

Means in the same raw with a different superscript "x,y" are significantly different within the same stage

Means in the same raw with a different superscript "a,b,c" are significantly different within the same female

Overall stage means in the same raw with a different superscript "A,B,C" are significantly different.

	Two-Way ANOVA					
	Stage	Female	Stage×female			
Proximate composition						
Dry matter ^{1, 2, 1×2}	$F_{3,35} = 3.71^*, p = 0.03$	$F_{2,35} = 16.28^{***}, p < 0.001$	$F_{6,35} = 4.76^{**}, p < 0.01$			
Ash ^{1, 1×2}	$F_{3,35} = 29.34^{***}, p < 0.001$	$F_{2,35} = 2.77, p = 0.08$	$F_{6,35} = 3.05^*, p = 0.02$			
Protein ¹	$F_{3,35} = 3.60^*, p = 0.03$	$F_{2,35} = 1.03, p = 0.37$	$F_{6,35} = 0.69, p = 0.66$			
Lipid	$F_{3,35} = 0.83, p = 0.49$	$F_{2,35} = 0.33, p = 0.72$	$F_{6,35} = 0.71, p = 0.65$			
Lipid classes						
Lysophosphatidylcholine	$F_{3,35} = 2.02, p = 0.14$	$F_{2,35} = 0.21, p = 0.82$	$F_{6,35} = 0.67, p = 0.68$			
Sphingomyelin	$F_{3,35} = 0.96, p = 0.43$	$F_{2,35} = 0.20, p = 0.82$	$F_{6,35} = 0.58, p = 0.74$			
Phosphatidylcholine	$F_{3,35} = 2.72, p = 0.07$	$F_{2,35} = 0.32, p = 0.73$	$F_{6,35} = 0.79, p = 0.59$			
Phosphatidylserine ¹	$F_{3,35} = 5.75^{**}, p < 0.01$	$F_{2,35} = 0.33, p = 0.72$	$F_{6,35} = 1.76, p = 0.15$			
Phosphatidylinositol	$F_{3,35} = 2.61, p = 0.08$	$F_{2,35} = 3.20, p = 0.06$	$F_{6,35} = 2.14, p = 0.09$			
Phosphatidylglycerol ²	$F_{3,35} = 0.32, p = 0.81$	$F_{2,35} = 6.31^{**}, p < 0.01$	$F_{6,35} = 1.09, p = 0.40$			
Phosphatidylethanolamine	$F_{3,35} = 2.09, p = 0.13$	$F_{2,35} = 1.61, p = 0.22$	$F_{6,35} = 2.15, p = 0.08$			
UK _(a)	$F_{3,35} = 0.45, p = 0.72$	$F_{2,35} = 0.30, p = 0.74$	$F_{6,35} = 0.15, p = 0.99$			
Total polar lipids ¹	$F_{3,35} = 4.04^*, p = 0.02$	$F_{2,35} = 2.41, p = 0.11$	$F_{6,35} = 1.88, p = 0.13$			
Monoacylglycerols + Diacylglycerols	$F_{3,35} = 0.96, p = 0.43$	$F_{2,35} = 2.99, p = 0.07$	$F_{6,35} = 2.05, p = 0.10$			
Cholesterol	$F_{3,35} = 1.02, p = 0.40$	$F_{2,35} = 0.07, p = 0.93$	$F_{6,35} = 1.58, p = 0.20$			
Free Fatty Acids ¹	$F_{3,35} = 3.38^*, p = 0.04$	$F_{2,35} = 3.20, p = 0.06$	$F_{6,35} = 0.21, p = 0.97$			
Triacylglycerols ^{1, 2, 1×2}	$F_{3,35} = 17.07^{***}, p < 0.001$	$F_{2,35} = 6.94^{**}, p < 0.01$	$F_{6,35} = 5.11^{**}, p < 0.01$			
Sterol Esters ^{1×2}	$F_{3,35} = 2.76, p = 0.07$	$F_{2,35} = 2.64, p = 0.09$	$F_{6,35} = 2.61^*, p = 0.04$			
UK _(b) ^{1×2}	$F_{3,35} = 0.71, p = 0.55$	$F_{2,35} = 3.08, p = 0.07$	$F_{6,35} = 2.66^*, p = 0.04$			
Total neutral lipids ¹	$F_{3,35} = 4.04^*, p = 0.02$	$F_{2,35} = 2.41, p = 0.11$	$F_{6,35} = 1.88, p = 0.13$			

Table 4 Summary of statistics for two-way ANOVA testing the effect of stage, female, and interaction stage × female on the proximate and lipid class composition of larvae and post larvae *Homarus gammarus*

Bold highlight statistical significant results

UK unknown

¹Significant effect of main factor stage

²Significant effect of main factor female

 $^{1\times}$ Significant effect of interaction stage \times female

no clear patterns could be established for trypsin variation during development. Further, the trypsin activity variation within developmental stages seemed to be more dependent on the broodstock as demonstrated by the significant interaction stage × female found. One possible explanation for the observed broodstock-specific variation on the trypsin activity profile might be the use of yolk reserves accumulated in the midgut during the early life stages (Biesiot and Capuzzo 1990b), assuming that different females were providing different quantities and qualities of yolk reserves to their progeny. The significant increase in amylase activity after metamorphosis as compared to the previous three larval stages is in agreement with previous observations in the white shrimp Penaeus setiferus (Lovett and Felder 1990) and may indicate an increased capacity of H. gammarus postlarvae stage IV to hydrolyze dietary carbohydrates (Johnston 2003). An increased capacity for carbohydrate dietary digestion and assimilation in postlarvae, juvenile, and adult specimens as compared to larvae has been previously demonstrated for different species of lobster, including the H. gammarus (Radford et al. 2008; Simon 2009; Rodríguez-Viera et al. 2014; Goncalves et al. 2021). The total lipase activity increased progressively from stage I to III but no further increase was observed in the transition from larvae to postlarvae, supporting the idea of lipid having increasing importance as an energy source during larvae development, but the dependence on lipids as a substrate is reduced after metamorphosis (Sasaki et al. 1986). The greater importance of lipids as an energy source in earlier stages has been previously confirmed by analyses of lipase and esterase activity in the spider crab Maja brachydactyla (Andrés et al. 2010) and the spiny lobster Panulirus argus (Perera et al. 2008). This is also corroborated by the decreasing trend in the TAG contents and the correlated increasing trend in the FFA levels until stage



Fig. 3 Principal component analysis (PCA) of biochemical composition for developmental stages of *Homarus gammarus*. The amounts (μ g / mg WW) of each lipid class, protein, and ash were used to form the principal components. Panel A clusters individuals per developmental stage and panel B per female. The PC1 separated the bio-

chemical composition horizontally and explained 52.0% of the variance. The PC2 separated the variables vertically and explained 13.4% of the variance. The contribution of the variables (lipid classes, protein, and ash) is represented by the arrows, and the stronger the correlation of a variable to PC1 or PC2 the longer the arrow is

III, after which TAG increases and FFA drops significantly when metamorphosis into stage IV takes place (Table 3). In decapod crustaceans, elevated FFA results from dietary or depot lipid degradation by lipase and esterase (O'Connor and Gilbert 1968). Subsequently, the released FFA might be mainly incorporated into cellular membrane phospholipids, and the excess diverted to energy for growth during larval development (Nates and Mckenney 2000).

Our results differ, to some extent, from those describing the ontogenetic change in total digestive enzyme activities for H. americanus (Biesiot and Capuzzo 1990a) where authors reported a gradual increase in protease, amylase, and lipase during early development within each successive stage. Lipase activity profile better matches with the results reported by these authors, at least from stage I to III. The differences between both studies might be related to the use of different feeding regimes during larvae rearing. While Biesiot and Capuzzo (1990b) fed larvae with frozen adult brine shrimp Artemia (43% protein, 10% lipid, and 17% carbohydrate), in this study we used thawed Antarctic krill (69% protein, 11% lipid, and 5% carbohydrate). Further, in the former study, the authors estimated general protease activity whereas, in the present study, we measured the activity of a specific protease – trypsin. Although the endoprotease trypsin has been described as the main protease for decapod crustaceans, accounting for 40% to 60% of total protease (Lemos et al. 1999; Perera et al. 2010, 2015), other endoproteases (elastase) and exoproteases (α and β -carboxypeptidases) are also involved in the digestion of protein in adult H. gammarus (Glass and Stark 1994).

The digestive enzyme activity has been previously determined in *H. gammarus* juveniles (stage VII-VIII) using the same methodologies described here (Goncalves et al. 2021). Trypsin, amylase, and lipase total activities of juveniles fed the same diet used in this study (i.e. thawed Antarctic krill) were $66 \times$, $13 \times$, and $8 \times$ higher as compared to postlarvae stage IV, respectively. Results suggest that the ontogenetic change in *H. gammarus* digestive capabilities and nutritional requirements may reflect a developmentally cued regulation of enzyme synthesis (Ribeiro and Jones 2000). Moreover, the uneven increase among the different enzyme types suggests that protein becomes more important as *H. gammarus* develops compared to carbohydrates, and even more to lipids.

Ontogenetic change in DNA and RNA content

The whole-animal concentrations of RNA and DNA in *H. gammarus* decreased gradually from stage I to IV suggesting that the overall metabolic activity decreased during larval development. The steeper decrease in DNA than in RNA points out to a higher dependence of early stages metabolism on cell multiplication rather than on cellular protein synthesis. That is because, while an increase in RNA reflects an increase in protein synthesis capacity, the increase in DNA is associated with an increase in the number of cells per tissue portion (Olivar et al. 2009). Despite the sharper decrease in DNA than in RNA content, no significant differences were found between stages based on the standardized RNA:DNA

ratio - sRD. Results suggest that the cellular protein synthesis capacity was not affected throughout larval development. Laubier-Bonichon et al. (1977) examined the RNA and DNA concentrations in the prawn Penaeus japonicus and concluded that both the rates of cell multiplication and protein synthesis were at maximum levels during larval development, but then dropped after the transition to postlarvae. Later, Lovett and Felder (1990) suggested that the reduced metabolic activity of Penaeus setiferus during the critical postlarvae period after metamorphosis was associated with low digestive enzyme activity triggered by the limited nutrient uptake during the transformational period of morphogenesis in the gut. Several prawn and shrimp species, including the P. japonicus and P. setiferus, undergo complex modifications in their digestive system after metamorphosis. In particular, the anterior midgut caeca degenerates into the vestigial anterior midgut diverticulum (Lovett and Felder 1990). Although there is some development of the hepatopancreas with H. gammarus growth, particularly the increase in size and number of tubules within the hepatopancreas tissue (Biesiot and McDowell 1995), transformations during metamorphosis seem to be much less dramatic than in prawns and shrimps, which can partially explain the lack of significant changes in sRD between development stages.

Biochemical composition

The major changes observed in terms of proximate composition in whole-body homogenates of *H. gammarus* throughout larval development were a significant increase in ash content at stage IV in relation to stages I–III, along with a significant decrease in protein content from stage I to postlarvae stage IV. Interestingly, the decrease in protein at stage IV was not accompanied by significant variation in trypsin activity but, as previously mentioned, an adjustment in the activity of other proteases cannot be ruled out. The observed variations in ash and protein are likely related to each other and associated with the development of a more heavily calcified exoskeleton after metamorphosis (Charmantier and Aiken 1991). Lipids, however, suffer slighter variations with compensated processes of catabolism and anabolism of lipid classes.

The most abundant lipid classes identified in the body tissues of *H. gammarus* at early stages were PC and cholesterol, followed by PE, suggesting a high requirement for structural lipids in *H. gammarus* larvae. Rosa et al. (2005) identified PC as one of the most abundant lipid classes in *H. gammarus* eggs, even though PE and FFA were slightly higher at the last stage of embryonic development. A high requirement for PC to satisfy metabolic demands in juvenile *H. americanus* has been previously reported (D'Abramo et al. 1982). Phospholipid was the predominant lipid class while only traces of TAG (<0.1%) were present in wild-caught pueruli of the spiny lobster *Jasus* *edwardsii* (Jeffs et al. 2001). In the same work, the authors showed that phospholipid reserves are primarily used during this important phase in the life cycle and that diacylglycerol plays a minor secondary role. Likewise, the major lipid classes identified in the early larval stages of the western rock lobster, *Panulirus cygnus*, were polar lipids followed by sterols (mainly cholesterol) (Liddy et al. 2004). The authors observed that polar lipid was the main lipid class catabolized by starved larvae whereas its content increased in fed larvae, with no significant changes in sterol content (Liddy et al. 2004).

Phospholipids are considered essential nutrients for the early stages of many decapod crustacean species because of their important role in cell membrane structure and function (Nicolson 2014), and in the digestion and transport of lipids, as well as for the low rates for their endogenous biosynthesis (Landman et al. 2021). Particularly, PC is regarded as the main reservoir of choline, up to 95% of its pool, in animal tissues (Zeisel and Blusztajn 1994; Zeisel et al. 2003) whereas PE stands out by its key role in neural tissues and retina development (Calzada et al. 2016). Both phospholipids (PC and PE) are particularly rich in DHA (22:6n-3), a highly polyunsaturated fatty acid (Lands 2017) physiologically essential for decapod larvae (Conklin 1995). Cholesterol is also classified as an essential nutrient for crustaceans since they are not capable of its biosynthesis, therefore, resulting in their dependence on dietary supply to meet nutritional requirements (Nates and Mckenney 2000).

The most obvious effect of the development stage on the lipid classes composition of H. gammarus larvae tissues was the significant reduction in the FFA content after metamorphosis, coinciding with the minimum level of the total polar lipid fraction and the maximum total neutral lipid proportion, mainly supported by an increment in TAG levels. Neutral lipids are the main depot of energy reserves for many marine crustaceans (Anger 1998). Thus, both the lower FFA levels and the higher neutral lipid fraction in stage IV H. gammarus postlarvae compared to stage I-III larvae suggests that there is a priority for development and growth over the accumulation of reserves during the first three planktonic larvae stages. This strategy changes once the true metamorphosis and the associated morphological, anatomical, and physiological variations (Charmantier and Aiken 1991) have taken place in stage IV H. gammarus postlarvae. Similar findings have been shown in other decapod crustacean larvae including the shrimp species Pandalus borealis (Ouellet et al. 1995) and Lepidophtalmus louisianensis (Nates and Mckenney 2000).

Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrated that the ontogenetic shifts of total enzyme activity are developmentally cued in *H. gammarus* larvae, pointing to a temporal genetic regulation. Dietary amylase becomes more important after metamorphosis, while lipids gradually gain importance during larval development until stage III but not further. By contrast, no clear trend was observed for total trypsin activity suggesting a similar importance of dietary protein throughout the first four development stages. The ontogenetic changes in digestive enzyme activity identified in this study can be indicative for changes in dietary composition for culture of H. gammarus. In particular, results point to an increase in carbohydrate content after metamorphosis and higher lipid content during larval stages. The phospholipids PC and PE and cholesterol were identified as the most abundant lipid classes in H. gammarus larvae and postlarvae suggesting high dietary requirements for these compounds. Although it was not the primary goal of this study, a parental influence was observed on size, digestive enzyme activity, and biochemical composition of H. gammarus larvae and postlarvae. Results suggest that, while often disregarded, the background of larvae used in nutritional studies might have a considerable impact on the results obtained. Therefore, we recommend that the effect of broodstock should be considered in future nutritional experiments performed on H. gammarus early stages.

Acknowledgements This study was partially financed by a Fisheries local action group (FiskeriLAG NORD, Denmark) and the ENV Foundation (Nord Energi, Denmark) with support from the Ph.D. school at DTU Aqua. The collaboration with the University of La Laguna (ULL) was partially funded by an ERASMUS + mobility training grant awarded to Renata Goncalves. Dr. Covadonga Rodríguez belongs to the Institute of Biochemical Technologies (ITB), Canary Islands, Spain. The authors are grateful to Nieves Guadalupe Acosta González, for her friendly collaboration and hospitality during the lipid analysis at ULL. The authors would also like to thank Kylian Manon Eggink and Stuart Monteith for language revision.

Author contributions RG, IL, and MG conceived the research question and designed the study. RG carried out the study trial. Samples and data were analyzed by RG and DBR. Findings were interpreted by RG, MG, CR, DBR, JAP, and IL. All the authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.

Funding This study was partially financed by a local fisheries action group (FiskeriLAG NORD, Denmark) and the ENV Foundation (Nord Energi, Denmark) with support from the Ph.D. school at DTU Aqua. The collaboration with the University of La Laguna (ULL) was partially funded by an ERASMUS + mobility training grant awarded to Renata Goncalves.

Availability of data and material The research data generated during the current study will be made public by the corresponding author via the DTU data repository on reasonable request.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

Ethics approval The study meets the ethical standards for the care and handling of invertebrate species in Europe.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

References

- Agnalt AL (2008) Fecundity of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) off southwestern Norway after stock enhancement: Do cultured females produce as many eggs as wild females? ICES J Mar Sci 65:164–170. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsm184
- Andrés M, Gisbert E, Díaz M, Moyano FJ, Estévez A, Rotllant G (2010) Ontogenetic changes in digestive enzymatic capacities of the spider crab, Maja brachydactyla (Decapoda: Majidae). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 389:75–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010. 03.015
- Anger K (1998) Patterns of growth and chemical composition in decapod crustacean larvae. Invertebr Reprod Dev 33:159–176. https:// doi.org/10.1080/07924259.1998.9652629
- Anger K (2001) The biology of decapod crustacean larvae. In: Balkema AA (ed) Crustacean issues, vol 14. Lisse, The Netherlands, p 1
- Biesiot PM, Capuzzo JMD (1990a) Changes in digestive enzyme activities during early development of the American lobster Homarus americanus Milne Edwards. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 136:107–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(90)90190-N
- Biesiot PM, Capuzzo JMD (1990b) Digestive protease, lipase and amylase activities in stage I larvae of the American lobster, Homarus americanus. Compar Biochem Physiol 95:47–54. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(90)90008-G
- Biesiot PM, McDowell JE (1995) Midgut-gland development during early life-history stages of the American lobster Homarus americanus. J Crustac Biol 15:679–685
- Caldarone EM, Clemmesen CM, Berdalet E, Miller TJ, Folkvord A, Holt GJ, Olivar MP, Suthers IM (2006) Intercalibration of four spectrofluorometric protocols for measuring RNA/DNA ratios in larval and juvenile fish. Limnol Oceanogr Methods 4:153–163. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2006.4.153
- Calzada E, Onguka O, Claypool SM (2016) Phosphatidylethanolamine metabolism in health and disease. Elsevier Inc.
- Charmantier G, Aiken DE (1991) Metamorphosis in the lobster Homarus (Decapoda): a review. J Crustac Biol 11:481–495
- Christie WW, Han X (2010) Lipid analysis: isolation, separation, identification and lipidomic analysis, 4th edn. Elsevier Ltd, Cambridge
- Conklin DE (1995) Digestive Physiology and Nutrition. In: Factor JR (ed) Biology of the Lobster Homarus americanus. Academic Press, London, pp 441–463
- D'Abramo LR, Bordner CE, Conklin DE (1982) Relationship between dietary phosphatidylcholine and serum cholesterol in the lobster Homarus sp. Mar Biol 67:231–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00401289
- Díaz AC, Fernándezgimenez AV, Velurtas SM, Fenucci JL (2008) Ontogenetic changes in the digestive system of pleoticus muelleri (decapoda, penaeoidea). Invertebr Reprod Dev 52:1–12. https:// doi.org/10.1080/07924259.2008.9652266
- Drengstig A, Bergheim A (2013) Commercial land-based farming of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.) in recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) using a single cage approach. Aquacult Eng 53:14–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2012.11.007
- Ellis CD, Hodgson DJ, Daniels CL, Boothroyd DP, Bannister RCA, Griffiths AGF (2015) European lobster stocking requires

comprehensive impact assessment to determine fishery benefits. ICES J Mar Sci 72:i35–i48. https://doi.org/10.1021/la001175p

- Ennis GP (1975) Behavioral responses to changes in hydrostatic pressure and light during larval development of the lobster *Homarus americanus*. J Fish Res Board Can 32:271–281. https://doi.org/ 10.1139/f75-025
- Glass HJ, Stark JR (1994) Protein digestion in the European lobster, Homarus gammarus (L.). Compar Biochem Physiol 108:225–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(94)90070-1
- Glass HJ, Stark JR (1995) Carbohydrate digestion in the European Lobster *Homarus gammarus* (L.). J Crustacean Biol 15:424. https:// doi.org/10.2307/1548764
- Goncalves R, Gesto M, Teodósio MA, Baptista V, Navarro-Guillén C, Lund I (2021) Replacement of Antarctic krill (Euphasia superba) by extruded feeds with different proximate composition: effects on growth, nutritional condition, and digestive capacity of juvenile European lobsters (*Homarus gammarus*, L.). J Nutr Sci 10:1–15
- Hinchcliffe J, Powell A, Langeland M, Vidakovic A, Undeland I, Sundell K, Eriksson SP (2020) Comparative survival and growth performance of European lobster *Homarus gammarus* post-larva reared on novel feeds. Aquac Res 51:102–113. https://doi.org/10. 1111/are.14351
- Hinchcliffe J, Agnalt A-L, Daniels CL, Drengstig A, Lund I, Mcminn J, Powell A (2021) European lobster *Homarus gammarus* aquaculture: technical developments, opportunities and requirements. Rev Aquac. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12634
- Jeffs AG, Nichols PD, Bruce MP (2001) Lipid reserves used by pueruli of the spiny lobster *Jasus edwardsii* in crossing the continental shelf of New Zealand. Compar Biochem Physiol 129:305–311. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1095-6433(00)00348-2
- Johnston DJ (2003) Ontogenetic changes in digestive enzyme activity of the spiny lobster, *Jasus edwardsii* (Decapoda; Palinuridae). Mar Biol 143:1071–1082. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1154-0
- Jonhston DJ, Yellowless D (1998) Relationship between dietary preferences and digestive enzyme complement of the slipper lobster *Thenus orientalis* (Decapoda: Scyllaridae). J Crustac Biol 18:656– 665. https://doi.org/10.1163/193724098X00511
- Kassambara A, Mundt F (2020) Factoextra: extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses. R package version 1.0.7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra
- Kurmaly K, Jones DA, Yule AB (1990) Acceptability and digestion of diets fed to larval stages of *Homarus gammarus* and the role of dietary conditioning behaviour. Mar Biol 106:181–190. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF01314799
- Landman MJ, Codabaccus BM, Carter CG, Fitzgibbon QP, Smith GG (2021) Is dietary phosphatidylcholine essential for juvenile slipper lobster (*Thenus australiensis*)? Aquaculture. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736889
- Lands B (2017) Highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFA) mediate and monitor food's impact on health. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 133:4–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2017. 05.002
- Laubier-Bonichon A, van Wormhoudt A, Sellos D (1977) Croissance larvaire controlee de Penaeus Japonicus Bate. Enzymes digestives et changements de regimes alimentaires. Actes De Colloques Du CNEXO 4:131–145
- Lemos D, Hernández-Cortés MP, Navarrete A, Garcia-Carreño FL, Phan VN (1999) Ontogenetic variation in digestive proteinase activity of larvae and postlarvae of the pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus paulensis* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Penaeidae). Mar Biol 135:653–662. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270050666
- Lemos D, Garcia-Carreño F, Hernández P, del Toro AN (2002) Ontogenetic variation in digestive proteinase activity, RNA and DNA content of larval and postlarval white shrimp *Litopenaeus schmitti*. Aquaculture 214:363–380

- Liddy GC, Nelson MM, Nichols PD, Phillips BF, Maguire GB (2004) The lipid composition of early stage western rock lobster (*Panulirus cygnus*) phyllosoma: Importance of polar lipid and essential fatty acids. J Shellfish Res 23:265–273
- Linnane A, Ball B, Mercer JP, Browne R, van der Meeren G, Ringvold H, Bannister C, Mazzoni D, Munday B (2001) Searching for the early benthic phase (EBP) of the European lobster: a *trans*-European study of cobble fauna. Hydrobiologia 465:63–72. https://doi.org/10. 1023/A:1014547618888
- Lovett DL, Felder DL (1990) Ontogenetic changes in enzyme activity of larval and postlarval white shrimp Penaeus (Crustacea, Decapoda, Penaeidae). Biol Bull 178:160–174. https://doi.org/10.2307/15419 74
- Moland E, Olsen EM, Stenseth NC (2010) Maternal influences on offspring size variation and viability in wild European lobster *Homarus* gammarus. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 400:165–173. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps08397
- Nates SF, Mckenney CL (2000) Ontogenetic changes in biochemical composition during larval and early postlarval development of *Lepidophtalmus louisianensis*, a ghost shrimp with abbreviated development. Compar Biochem Physiol 127:459–468
- Navas JM, Bruce M, Thrush M, Farndale BM, Bromage N, Zanuy S, Carrillo M, Bell JG, Ramos J (1997) The impact of seasonal alteration in the lipid composition of broodstock diets on egg quality in the european sea bass. J Fish Biol 51:760–773. https://doi.org/10. 1006/jfbi.1997.0484
- Nicolson GL (2014) The Fluid—Mosaic Model of Membrane Structure: still relevant to understanding the structure, function and dynamics of biological membranes after more than 40 years. Biochem Biophys Acta 1838:1451–1466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.10. 019
- Nicosia F, Lavalli K (1999) Homarid lobster hatcheries: their history and role in research, management, and aquaculture. Mar Fish Rev 61:1–57
- NMKL 23 (1991) Moisture and ash. Gravimetric determination in meat and meat products
- O'Connor JD, Gilbert LI (1968) Aspects of lipid metabolism in crustaceans. Am Zool 8:529–539. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/8.3.529
- Olivar MP, Diaz MV, Chícharo MA (2009) Efecto del tipo de tejido sobre los cocientes ARN: ADN en larvas de peces marinos. Sci Mar 73:171–182. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2009.73s1171
- Ouellet P, Taggart CT, Frank KT (1995) Early growth, lipid composition, and survival expectations of shrimp *Pandalus borealis* larvae in the northern Gulf of St Lawrence. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 126:163–175. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps126163
- Perera E, Moyano FJ, Díaz M, Perdomo-Morales R, Montero-Alejo V, Rodriguez-Viera L, Alonso E, Carrillo O, Galich GS (2008) Changes in digestive enzymes through developmental and molt stages in the spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus*. Compar Biochem Physiol 151:250– 256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2008.07.005
- Perera E, Moyano FJ, Rodriguez-Viera L, Cervantes A, Martínez-Rodríguez G, Mancera JM (2010) In vitro digestion of protein sources by crude enzyme extracts of the spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* (Latreille, 1804) hepatopancreas with different trypsin isoenzyme patterns. Aquaculture 310:178–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquac ulture.2010.10.009
- Perera E, Rodríguez-Viera L, Perdomo-Morales R, Montero-Alejo V, Moyano FJ, Martínez-Rodríguez G, Mancera JM (2015) Trypsin isozymes in the lobster *Panulirus argus* (Latreille, 1804): from molecules to physiology. J Comp Physiol [b] 185:17–35. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00360-014-0851-y
- Powell A, Hinchcliffe J, Sundell K, Carlsson NG, Eriksson SP (2017) Comparative survival and growth performance of European lobster larvae, *Homarus gammarus*, reared on dry feed and conspecifics. Aquac Res 48:5300–5310. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13343

- R Core Team (2018) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/
- Radford CA, Marsden ID, Jeffs AG (2008) Specific dynamic action as an indicator of carbohydrate digestion in juvenile spiny lobsters, Jasus edwardsii. Mar Freshw Res 59:841–848. https://doi.org/10. 1071/MF08002
- Ribeiro FALT, Jones DA (2000) Growth and ontogenetic change in activities of digestive enzymes in Fennero Penaeus indicus postlarvae. Aquac Nutr 6:53–64. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2095.2000. 00132.x
- Rodriguez A, le Vay L, Mourente G, Jones DA (1994) Biochemical composition and digestive enzyme activity in larvae and postlarvae of *Penaeus japonicus* during herbivorous and carnivorous feeding. Mar Biol 118:45–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00699218
- Rodríguez-García OU, de Lara VCF, Rodríguez-Jaramillo C, Serviere-Zaragoza E (2015) Nutritional condition and gonad development of juvenile and subadult California spiny lobster Panulirus interruptus in two habitats. Revista De Biologia Marina y Oceanografia 50:261–270. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-19572015000300005
- Rodríguez-Viera L, Perera E, Casuso A, Perdomo-Morales R, Gutierrez O, Scull I, Carrillo O, Martos-Sitcha JA, García-Galano T, Mancera JM (2014) A holistic view of dietary carbohydrate utilization in lobster: digestion, postprandial nutrient flux, and metabolism. PLoS One. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108875
- Rosa R, Calado R, Andrade AM, Narciso L, Nunes ML (2005) Changes in amino acids and lipids during embryogenesis of European lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (Crustacea: Decapoda). Compar Biochem Physiol 140:241–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2004.10.009
- Rotllant G, Moyano FJ, Andrés M, Díaz M, Estévez A, Gisbert E (2008) Evaluation of fluorogenic substrates in the assessment of digestive enzymes in a decapod crustacean Maja brachydactyla larvae. Aquaculture 282:90–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.06. 004
- Sasaki GC, Capuzzo JM, Biesiot P (1986) Nutritions and bioenergetic considerations in the development of the American Lobster. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 43:2311–2319
- Schoo KL, Aberle N, Malzahn AM, Schmalenbach I, Boersma M (2014) The reaction of European lobster larvae (*Homarus gammarus*) to different quality food: effects of ontogenetic shifts and pre-feeding history. Oecologia 174:581–594. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00442-013-2786-5
- Simon CJ (2009) Identification of digestible carbohydrate sources for inclusion in formulated diets for juvenile spiny lobsters, *Jasus* edwardsii. Aquaculture 290:275–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aquaculture.2009.02.026
- Triantafyllidis A, Apostolidis AP, Katsares V, Kelly E, Mercer J, Hughes M, Jørstad KE, Tsolou A, Hynes R, Triantaphyllidis C (2005) Mitochondrial DNA variation in the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) throughout the range. Mar Biol 146:223–235. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00227-004-1435-2
- Zeisel SH, Blusztajn JK (1994) Choline and human nutrition. Annu Rev Nutr 14:269–296. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nu.14.070194. 001413
- Zeisel SH, Mar MH, Howe JC, Holden JM (2003) Concentrations of choline-containing compounds and betaine in common foods. J Nutr 133:1302–1307. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/133.9.2918

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.