

\$(A, m)\$-ISOMETRIES ON HILBERT SPACES

T. BERMÚDEZ, A. SADDI, AND H. ZAWAY

ABSTRACT. A bounded linear operator \$T\$ on a Hilbert space \$H\$ is called an \$(A, m)\$-isometry, for some positive operator \$A\$ on \$H\$ and integer \$m\$ if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} AT^k = 0.$$

We give some properties of \$(A, m)\$-isometries. In particular, we focus on spectral properties and the relation between \$(A, m)\$-isometries and \$m\$-isometries. Also, we obtain some dynamic properties of \$(A, m)\$-isometries as: a negative answer to [22, Question 1] with an example of an \$A\$-isometric which is \$N\$-supercyclic and sufficient conditions for an \$(A, m)\$-isometry to be not \$N\$-supercyclic. Moreover, we prove that the perturbation of \$(A, m)\$-isometry by a bigger class than nilpotent operators is not \$N\$-supercyclic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, \$H\$ denotes a Hilbert space, \$X\$ a Banach space and \$L(X)\$ the algebra of all bounded and linear operators on \$X\$.

Given any positive integer \$m\$, it is said that the operator \$T \in L(H)\$ is an *\$m\$-isometry* if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} T^k = 0, \tag{1}$$

where \$T^*\$ denotes the adjoint operator of \$T\$. The notion of \$m\$-isometric operator was introduced by Agler in [1] and was thoroughly studied by Agler and Stankus in [4, 5, 6]. This definition is one of the generalizations of isometry.

It is clear that (1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k x\|^2 = 0, \tag{2}$$

for all \$x \in H\$.

Let \$A \in L(H)\$ be a positive operator and let \$m\$ be a positive integer. An operator \$T \in L(H)\$ is said an *\$(A, m)\$-isometry* if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} AT^k = 0. \tag{3}$$

An operator \$T\$ is a *strict \$(A, m)\$-isometry* if \$T\$ is an \$(A, m)\$-isometry and is not an \$(A, m - 1)\$-isometry. If \$m = 1\$, it is called *\$A\$-isometry*, that is, \$T\$ is an *\$A\$-isometry* if \$T^*AT = A\$. The class of \$(A, m)\$-isometries has been introduced by Sid Ahmed and Saddi [23], and studied by other authors. See [14, 15, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24].

For any \$T \in L(H)\$, we denote by \$R(T)\$ and \$\ker(T)\$, the range and the null space of \$T\$, respectively. The following are trivial examples of this class.

Date: October 27, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A16, 47A10.

Key words and phrases. \$(A, m)\$-isometry, \$m\$-isometry, \$K\$-nilpotent, \$N\$-supercyclic, spectrum.

(1) If $A := I$, then

T is an m -isometry if and only if T is (A, m) -isometry .

(2) If $A := 0$, then any operator on $L(H)$ is an A -isometry.

(3) If T is A -isometry, then any operator of the form $T + L(H, \ker(A))$ is an A -isometry.

Notice that an (A, m) -isometry can not be injective.

Example 1.1. Let $A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be operators defined on \mathbb{C}^3 . It is not difficult to prove that T is a strict $(A, 3)$ -isometry which is not injective.

Let us recall that, for $T \in L(H)$, the *orbit* of a subset $E \subseteq H$ under T is defined by

$$\text{Orb}(T, E) := \{T^n x : x \in E, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

An operator T is said to be *N -supercyclic* if there exists an N -dimensional subspace E of H such that $\text{Orb}(T, E)$ is dense in H . If $N = 1$, we say that T is *supercyclic*.

In 1997, Ansari and Bourdon [7] proved that an isometry on a Banach space can not be supercyclic. Later, Faghieh and Hedayatain [16] extended this result to m -isometric operators on a Hilbert space. In [8] Bayart proved that an m -isometry on a Banach space isn't N -supercyclic.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the relation between m -isometries and (A, m') -isometries. In general, these classes are different. However, with some additional hypotheses we get that (A, m) -isometry has similar properties as the class of m -isometries. Also, we prove that the spectrum of an (A, m) -isometry with a non zero operator A must intersect the unit circle. Indeed, for any compact subset K of \mathbb{C} with intersection in the unit circle, we find a Hilbert space, a positive operator A and an A -isometry with spectrum K . In Section 3, we study the relationship between m -isometries and (A, m') -isometries, for particular cases (finite-dimensional case and infinite-dimensional case with the unilateral weighted shift operator). In the final section, we prove that an A -isometry with 0 not in the point spectrum of A , can not be N -supercyclic. Also, we give a negative answer of [22, Question 1], with an example of an A -isometry which is N -supercyclic. Moreover, we extend the result of [25, Theorem 2.2] and [18, Theorem 2.3] in the following way: the sum of an (A, m) -isometry S and an A -nilpotent operator Q on a Hilbert space H that commutes with S can not be N -supercyclic if $\ker(A)$ is invariant under S and Q and $\dim(H/\ker(A)) > N$.

2. PROPERTIES OF (A, m) -ISOMETRIES

Henceforth, A will denote a positive operator unless explicitly stated otherwise.

The purpose of this section is to present some properties of the class of (A, m) -isometries. We give some similar and different properties between (A, m) -isometries and m -isometries.

A first natural question is the following: Is there any relation between m -isometries and (A, m) -isometries?

Example 1.1 shows that in general an (A, m) -isometry isn't an m' -isometry, for any m' . On the other hand, the following example proves that for a fixed positive operator A , we have no relation between the class of m -isometries and (A, m) -isometries.

Example 2.1. Let $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Then it is clear that T is an isometry and isn't A -isometry.

Let T be an (A, m) -isometry. We define the *A -covariance operator of T* by

$$\Delta_T^A := \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} T^{*k} A T^k .$$

By [23, Theorem 2.1], the A -covariance operator, Δ_T^A , is a positive operator. An important property says that

$$T^* \Delta_T^A T - \Delta_T^A = 0. \quad (4)$$

Hence an (A, m) -isometry (m -isometry) is Δ_T^A -isometry (Δ_T -isometry). See [23] for more properties of the A -covariance operator.

Assume that T is an (A, m) -isometry and an A' -isometry, for some positive operators A and A' . Is $A' = a\Delta_T^A$ for some $a > 0$?

This is not true in general.

Example 2.2. Consider the operators $T := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A' := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then it is not difficult to check that T is a strict $(A, 3)$ -isometry and A' -isometry with $A' \neq a\Delta_T^A$, for all $a > 0$.

In the next proposition, we obtain some immediate properties.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an (A, m) -isometry. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If $S \in L(H)$ is unitarily equivalent to T , then S is an (A, m) -isometry.*
- (2) *If H_1 is a closed invariant subspace of T , then the restriction of T to H_1 , $T|_{H_1}$ is a $(P_{H_1} A|_{H_1}, m)$ -isometry where P_{H_1} is the orthogonal projection with range H_1 and $P_{H_1} A|_{H_1}$ is a positive operator of $L(H_1)$.*

Proof. (2) Let us prove that $P_{H_1} A|_{H_1}$ is a positive operator of $L(H_1)$. Let $x \in H_1$. Then

$$\langle P_{H_1} A|_{H_1} x, x \rangle = \langle Ax, P_{H_1} x \rangle = \langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0.$$

□

Denote the approximate point spectrum of A by $\sigma_{ap}(A)$.

With some extra spectral condition on A , the classes of (A, m) -isometries and m -isometries are “almost the same”, as showed the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an (A, m) -isometry such that $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(A)$. Then T is an m -isometry on $(H, \|\cdot\|_A)$, where $\|x\|_A := \|A^{1/2}x\|$ and $A^{1/2}$ is the square root of A .*

Proof. It is immediate since $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(A)$ implies that $(H, \|\cdot\|_A)$ is a Hilbert space. □

In general, we are interested in obtaining sufficient conditions on T to be an (A, m) -isometry for some $A \geq 0$. Using the same ideas of the theory of m -isometries for perturbation by commuting nilpotent operators obtained in [9, 10, 11], we have the following for (A, m) -isometries.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an (A, m) -isometry. Then*

- (1) *For every $x \in H$, we have that $\|A^{1/2}T^n x\|$ is a polynomial at n of degree at least $m - 1$.*
- (2) *If Q is a nilpotent operator of order n that commutes with T , then $T + Q$ is an $(A, 2n + m - 2)$ -isometry.*

Proof. The proof of the first part is similar to [10, Theorem 2.1] and the second part to [9, Theorem 3]. □

It is well-known, in the theory of m -isometries, that if T is an isometry that commutes with a nilpotent operator of order exactly n , then $T + Q$ is a strict $(2n - 1)$ -isometry, that is, $T + Q$ is a $(2n - 1)$ -isometry and not a $(2n - 2)$ -isometry, [11, Theorem 2.2]. However, strictly part of this result is not valid for the class of (A, m) -isometries as proves the following example.

Example 2.3. Consider the operators $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, with $\alpha > 0$, $T := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , when it is seen that T and $T + Q$ are A -isometries.

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the definition of (A, m) -isometry.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $T, A \in L(H)$ such that $A \geq 0$ and T be an (A, m) -isometry. Then*

- (1) $\ker(T) \subseteq \ker(A)$. In particular, if $\ker(A) = \{0\}$, then T is injective.
(2) If T is invertible, then T^{-1} is an (A, m) -isometry.

It is well-known that the approximate point spectrum of an isometry T is contained in the unit circle. Hence the spectrum of T is the closed unit disc if it is not invertible or a closed subset of the unit circle if it is invertible. Indeed, Agler and Stankus proved the same property for m -isometries [4]. So, a first glance, it could be interpreted that isometries and m -isometries have similar spectra. However, this is not totally true, see [12] for more details. Moreover, (A, m) -isometries have not the same spectrum as m -isometries. Indeed, an easy statement is that (A, m) -isometries can not be bounded below, even with points outside of the unit disc as proves the following example.

Example 2.4. If $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $T := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L(\mathbb{C}^3)$, then T is A -isometry and $\sigma(T) = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

The next result gives a necessary spectral condition to be an (A, m) -isometry.

Theorem 2.2. *The spectrum of an (A, m) -isometry with $A \neq 0$ must intersect the unit circle.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $m = 1$, since every (A, m) -isometry is an A' -isometry, where A' is the A -covariance operator of T .

Assume that T is an A -isometry such that $\sigma(T) \cap \partial\mathbb{D} = \emptyset$.

Let us prove that A is the null operator. By functional calculus we get that $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$, $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$, with $T_i := T|_{H_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\sigma_1 := \sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma_2 := \sigma(T_2) = \sigma(T) \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$.

Let us prove that $A|_{H_1} \equiv 0$. Using that H_1 is an invariant subspace of T and T is A -isometry, so by Proposition 2.1, we get that T_1 is a $P_{H_1}A|_{H_1}$ -isometry, and with spectral radius less than 1, since $\sigma(T_1) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Hence $T_1^n h_1$ converges to 0 for any $h_1 \in H_1$. Then for any $h \in H_1$, we obtain that

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle = \langle AT^n h, T^n h \rangle = \langle AT_1^n h, T_1^n h \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that $\langle Ah, h \rangle = 0$ for all $h \in H_1$, then $A|_{H_1} \equiv 0$.

Let us prove that $A|_{H_2} \equiv 0$. Since $\sigma(T_2) = \sigma(T) \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$, then T_2 is an invertible and $P_{H_2}A|_{H_2}$ -isometry. By Proposition 2.3 and the proof of the first part, we derive the result. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} such that $K \cap \partial\mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H and T , $A \in L(H)$, with $A \geq 0$ such that T is an A -isometry with $\sigma(T) = K$.*

Proof. Let $H := \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Consider a positive operator of $L(H)$, $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, with $\alpha > 0$.

Since $K \cap \partial\mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$, we define a linear operator T on H as $T := \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in K \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $D(x_1, x_2, \dots) := (\beta_1 x_1, \beta_2 x_2, \dots)$ with $\{\overline{\beta_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = K$. Then we obtain that T is an A -isometry and $\sigma(T) = \sigma(D) \cup \{\lambda\} = K$. \square

Assume that A is a non negative positive operator of $L(H)$. We will present some non trivial examples.

2.1. On finite dimensional Hilbert space. By Agler, Helton and Stankus, any m -isometry on a finite dimensional Hilbert space is of the form a unitary operator plus a commuting nilpotent operator [3]. Moreover, by [11, Theorem 2.7] on \mathbb{R}^2 there are only isometries and 3-isometries. Indeed, by [12] the strictly 3-isometries on \mathbb{R}^2 have a particular form.

Lemma 2.1. [12] *The strict 3-isometries on \mathbb{R}^2 are of the form $\pm I + Q_i$, where Q_i is a non-zero nilpotent operator given by:*

$$Q_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } Q_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ -\lambda^{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } \lambda \neq 0.$$

The above result gives some ideas for $(A, 3)$ -isometries on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T_i := \pm I + Q_i$ be a strict 3-isometry on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then T_i is an A -isometry, with $A \geq 0$ if and only if $A \equiv A_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad A_1 &:= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \geq 0 \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R}. \\ (2) \quad A_2 &:= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \geq 0 \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R}. \\ (3) \quad A_3 &:= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b+c}{2\lambda} & b \\ c & \frac{\lambda(b+c)}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\lambda(b+c)}{2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove part (3), the other cases are similar. Assume that $T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \lambda \\ -\lambda^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Consider a positive operator of $L(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Suppose that T_3 is A -isometry, that is, $T_3^*AT_3 - A \equiv 0$. Then we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 3a - 2\lambda^{-1}(b+c) + \lambda^{-2}d = 0 \\ a\lambda^2 - d = 0 \\ 2a\lambda - (c+b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the solution is given by $a = \frac{b+c}{2\lambda}$ and $d = \frac{\lambda(b+c)}{2}$.

Moreover, it is not difficult to prove that $A_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b+c}{2\lambda} & b \\ c & \frac{\lambda(b+c)}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ is a positive operator if and

only if $\frac{\lambda(b+c)}{2} \geq 0$. Hence we obtain the result. \square

The m -isometries on a finite dimensional Hilbert space has a concrete form: isometries plus commuting nilpotent operator. So, what can we say about (A, m) -isometries on finite dimensional Hilbert space?

Question 2.1. Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and $T \in L(H)$ be an (A, m) -isometry. Is it possible to write T as the sum of an A -isometry and nilpotent operator which commutes?

In general, we do not know the answer of that question. Our examples satisfy that decomposition, that is, A -isometry plus a commuting nilpotent operator.

Example 2.5. If $T_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in L(\mathbb{C}^2)$, then T_1 is a strict 3-isometry and a strict $(A_1, 3)$ -isometry with $T_1 := I + Q_1$ where $Q_1^2 = 0$. Moreover, if $A := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T := \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then we obtain that T isn't an m -isometry, for any integer m , and it is a strict $(A, 3)$ -isometry with $T := S + Q$ where $S := \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an A -isometry and $Q := \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a 2-nilpotent operator such that $SQ = QS$.

2.2. Unilateral weighted shift. We will assume that $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. The unilateral weighted shift T on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with weight sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ it is defined by

$$Te_n := w_n e_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Corollary 2.1. [2, Corollary 3] *A unilateral weighted shift T on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with weight sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is strictly m -isometric if and only if there exists a polynomial p of degree $m-1$ with real coefficients such that for all integers $n \geq 1$, we have $p(n) > 0$ and*

$$|w_n|^2 = \frac{p(n+1)}{p(n)}. \quad (5)$$

Corollary 2.2. *Let T be a unilateral weighted shift with weight sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and p be the monic polynomial satisfying (5). If T is a strict m -isometry with even m , then there exists a root α_{j_0} of p such that $\alpha_{j_0} \in (-\infty, 1)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.1, there exists a polynomial p of odd degree $m-1$ that satisfies (5). Suppose that there exists a root $\alpha_{j_1} \in (1, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, then there exist integer $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a root $\alpha_{j'_1}$ of p , such that:

$$\alpha_{j'_1}, \alpha_{j_1} \in (n_1, n_1 + 1).$$

That is, for all integers $n \geq 1$, we have $(n - \alpha_{j_1})(n - \alpha_{j'_1}) > 0$, since $p(n) > 0$, for all integers $n \geq 1$ and the numbers of roots of p is odd. Hence there exists a root α_{j_0} such that $\alpha_{j_0} \in (-\infty, 1)$. \square

Remark 2.1. From Corollary 2.2, we conclude that p could be written as:

$$p(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \dots (x - \alpha_{m-1}),$$

such that for all integers $n \geq 1$, where $j_0 \in (-\infty, 1)$ is taking as 1, without lost of generality. Then we have that $(n - \alpha_1) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (n - \alpha_2)(n - \alpha_3) &> 0, \\ (n - \alpha_4)(n - \alpha_5) &> 0, \\ &\dots, \\ (n - \alpha_{m-2})(n - \alpha_{m-1}) &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the following combinatorial result.

Lemma 2.2. [26, Eq. 0.154 (3)] *If n is a positive integer, then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k^j = 0$$

for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

In Section 2, we have recalled that an m -isometry is a Δ_T -isometry. Now, we are interested in the study of m -isometry with the unilateral weighted shift related with the concept of (A, m') -isometry, for some positive operator A and some integer m' .

Theorem 2.5. *Let T be a unilateral weighted shift with weight sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, which is a strict m -isometry, let p be the monic polynomial satisfying (5) and let α_j 's be the roots of p .*

(1) *If m is even, then T is a strict A_ℓ - $(m - \ell)$ -isometry, where*

$$A_\ell e_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{\ell+1} (n - \alpha_j)} e_n, & \text{if } \ell \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (n - \alpha_j)} e_n, & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq m-1$, where $\alpha_1 \in (-\infty, 1)$.

(2) *If m is odd, then T is a strict $A_{2\ell}$ - $(m - 2\ell)$ -isometry, where*

$$A_{2\ell} e_n := \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{2\ell} (n - \alpha_j)} e_n,$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$.

Proof. Let us prove it for even m . Assume that $1 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. By Remark 2.1, we obtain that if ℓ is even, then $\prod_{j=2}^{\ell+1}(n-\alpha_j) > 0$ and if ℓ is odd, then $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}(n-\alpha_j) > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then A_ℓ is a positive operator.

For even ℓ , we consider the diagonal operator A_ℓ with diagonal

$$\lambda_n := \frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{\ell+1}(n-\alpha_j)}.$$

Denote

$$\beta_\ell(A, T, x) := \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{\ell}{k} \langle AT^k x, T^k x \rangle,$$

for any positive integer ℓ . Let $x = \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n e_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{m-\ell}(A_\ell, T, x) &= \frac{1}{(m-\ell)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{m-\ell-k} \binom{m-\ell}{k} \langle A_\ell T^k x, T^k x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-\ell)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{m-\ell-k} \binom{m-\ell}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 \langle A_\ell T^k e_n, T^k e_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-\ell)!} \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{m-\ell-k} \binom{m-\ell}{k} \prod_{j=n}^{n+k-1} |w_j|^2 \langle A_\ell e_{n+k}, e_{n+k} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-\ell)!} \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{m-\ell-k} \binom{m-\ell}{k} \prod_{j=n}^{n+k-1} \frac{p(j+1)}{p(j)} \langle A_\ell e_{n+k}, e_{n+k} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-\ell)!} \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{m-\ell-k} \binom{m-\ell}{k} \frac{p(n+k)}{p(n)} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{\ell+1}(n+k-\alpha_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\frac{p(n+k)}{\prod_{j=2}^{\ell+1}(n+k-\alpha_j)} = \begin{cases} (n+k-\alpha_1), & \text{if } \ell = m-2, \\ \prod_{j=\ell+2}^{m-1}(n+k-\alpha_j)(n+k-\alpha_1), & \text{if } \ell \neq m-2. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{m-\ell-k} \binom{m-\ell}{k} \frac{p(n+k)}{\prod_{j=2}^{\ell+1}(n+k-\alpha_j)} = 0.$$

Hence $\beta_{m-\ell}(A_\ell, T, x) = 0$, which means that T is a strict $A_\ell(m-\ell)$ -isometry.

The rest of the cases are similar. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let T be a unilateral weighted shift with weight sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and let p be the monic polynomial satisfying (5). If T is an m -isometry, then there exists a nonzero positive operator A_{m-1} given by*

$$A_{m-1} e_n := \frac{1}{p(n)} e_n, \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

such that T is an A_{m-1} -isometry, where $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(A_{m-1}) \setminus \sigma_p(A_{m-1})$.

3. DYNAMIC PROPERTIES

The purpose of this section is to give some dynamic properties of (A, m) -isometries. Denote

$$L_A(H) := \{T \in L(H) \quad : \quad R(T^*A) \subset R(A)\} .$$

In the following result we summarize some positive results.

Theorem 3.1. [22] *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an (A, m) -isometry. Then*

- (a) *A power bounded A -isometry is never supercyclic.*
- (b) *If $(\|T^n x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually increasing for any $x \in H$, then T is not supercyclic.*
- (c) *If $T \in L_A(H)$, $0 \notin \sigma(A)$ and Δ_T^A is injective, then T is not N -supercyclic.*

By a similar expression of (2), Bayart [8] and Hoffman, Mackey and Searcoid [19] have introduced the concept of m -isometries on Banach space context. That is, a bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach space X is an (m, p) -isometry ($m \geq 1$ integer, $p > 0$ real) if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k x\|^p = 0, \quad (6)$$

for all $x \in H$. In [14] Duggal has introduced the following definition of (A, m, p) -isometry in a Banach space context using similar ideas. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is an (A, m, p) -isometry if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|AT^k x\|^p = 0 \quad (x \in X) .$$

If A is a positive operator defined in a Hilbert space, then $T \in L(H)$ is an (A, m) -isometry if and only if T is an $(A^{1/2}, m, 2)$ -isometry with the definition given by Duggal, where $A^{1/2}$ is the square root of A .

We present a different and easy proof of Duggal's result on Hilbert space. A similar proof works on Banach spaces.

Corollary 3.1. [14, Corollary 2.6] *Let $A, T \in L(H)$ such that $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(A)$. If T is an (A, m) -isometric, then T can not be N -supercyclic.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, T is an m -isometry on $(H, \|\cdot\|_A)$. Moreover, Bayart has proved that an m -isometry can not be N -supercyclic, [8, Theorem 3.3]. So, the result is obtained. \square

The above result can be improved. For that we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A, T \in L(H)$ such that T is A -isometry and $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$. Then the following properties hold:*

- (a) *There exists $M > 0$ such that $\|AT^n\| \leq M$ for all positive integer n .*
- (b) *For any nonzero $x \in H$, $AT^n x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose that T is an A -isometry. Then, $\|AT^n x\| = \|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$. Hence we obtain the first part of the result.

Let $x \in H$ be a nonzero vector. Since $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$, so we have $\|AT^n x\| = \|Ax\| \neq 0$. So

$$\|AT^n x\| \rightarrow \|Ax\| \neq 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Thus $AT^n x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any nonzero $x \in H$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T, A \in L(H)$. If T and A satisfies properties (a) and (b) of Lemma 3.1, then T can be extended to an isometry on a Banach space.*

Proof. Define $F : \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a linear functional that satisfies:

- (1) If $x_n \leq y_n$, then $F((x_n)) \leq F((y_n))$.
- (2) For any $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C})$, $F((x_n)) = F((x_{n+1}))$.
- (3) $F((x_n))$ is the limit of a subsequence of $\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}$.

Define a new norm on X by $\|x\| := F(\|AT^n x\|)$. Let us prove that $\|x\|$ is a norm. Let $x \in H$ be a nonzero vector. Since $\|AT^n\| \leq M$, for all n , then $(\|AT^n x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ for all $x \in H$. Further by (b), $AT^n x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$, that is, there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\|AT^n x\| \geq M_0, \text{ for all } n.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\|ATx\| + \|AT^2x\| + \dots + \|AT^nx\|}{n} \geq M_0 > 0, \text{ for all } n. \quad (7)$$

By definition of the linear functional and (7), we have that $\|x\| > 0$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on H . Let $x \in H$. Then

$$\|Tx\| = F(\|AT^{n+1}x\|) = F(\|AT^n x\|) = \|x\|.$$

Let \tilde{H} denote the completion of X with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Hence T extends to an isometry \tilde{T} on $(\tilde{H}, \|\cdot\|)$. □

Theorem 3.2. *If $T \in L(H)$ is an A -isometry such that $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$, then T can not be N -supercyclic.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we have that T and A satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2. The result is consequence of [8, Theorem 3.4]. □

With some additional hypothesis, it is possible to prove that (A, m) -isometries are not N -supercyclic.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A, T \in L(H)$ such that $0 \notin \sigma_p(\Delta_T^A)$. If T is an (A, m) -isometric, then T can not be N -supercyclic.*

Proof. Since T is an (A, m) -isometry, by (4) we have that T is a Δ_T^A -isometry. By Theorem 3.2 yields the result. □

In the following result Hedayatian proved a general result of Corollary 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. [18, Theorem 2.3] *If $T \in L(H)$ is an (A, m) -isometry such that $\dim(H/\ker(\Delta_T^A)) > N$, for some $N \geq 1$, then T is not N -supercyclic.*

In [15, Theorem 4], Faghih-Ahmadi proved that any (A, m) -isometry is not supercyclic. However, in the next example, we prove that it is not correct, even for the N -supercyclic class. Also, this example gives a negative answer of [22, Question 1].

Example 3.1. Let $H := \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$. Consider the positive operator A of $L(H)$, $A := I_{\mathbb{C}^N} \oplus 0$, and $T \in L(H)$, $T := I_{\mathbb{C}^N} \oplus \lambda B$ where $|\lambda| > 1$ and $B(x_1, x_2, \dots) := (x_2, x_3, \dots)$. Then T is an A -isometry. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AT(z, (x_1, x_2, \dots)), T(z, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \rangle &= \langle (z, 0), (z, \lambda(x_2, x_3, \dots)) \rangle \\ &= |z|^2 \\ &= \langle A(z, x), (z, x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

However, T is N -supercyclic by [13].

In the literature there are some perturbation results for the class of m -isometries. See for example [9, 11, 17, 21]. We are interested in introducing a new concept that generalizes the class of the nilpotent operators, the K -nilpotent operator.

Definition 3.1. Let X and Y two Banach spaces, $Q \in L(X)$ and K a map from X to Y . We say that Q is K -nilpotent if there exists $n \geq 1$ such that

$$R(Q^n) \subseteq \ker(K). \quad (8)$$

Note that if $\ker(K) = \{0\}$, then Q is a classical nilpotent operator.

The next result generalizes [25, Theorem 2.2] and [18, Theorem 2.3]. See also [9, Theorem 3], [17, Theorem 4] and [21, Theorem 16].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $S \in L(H)$ be an (A, m) -isometry and $Q \in L(H)$ be an A -nilpotent such that $SQ = QS$. If $\ker(A)$ is invariant under S and Q and $\dim(H/\ker(A)) > N$, then $S + Q$ is not N -supercyclic.*

Proof. Suppose that $T := S + Q$ is N -supercyclic on $(H, \|\cdot\|)$. Since

$$\|x\|_A^2 = \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \|A\| \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H,$$

then T is N -supercyclic on $(H, \|\cdot\|_A)$.

If $Q(\ker(A)) \subseteq \ker(A)$ and $S(\ker(A)) \subseteq \ker(A)$, then we can define \tilde{S}_0 and \tilde{Q}_0 on $H/\ker(A)$ by

$$\tilde{S}_0[x] := [Sx], \quad \tilde{Q}_0[x] := [Qx]$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_0 := \tilde{S}_0 + \tilde{Q}_0.$$

Moreover, for each $x \in H$, $\|[x]\|_A = \|x\|_A$. Then \tilde{S}_0 is an m -isometry. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|\tilde{S}_0^k[x]\|_A^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|[S^k x]\|_A^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|S^k x\|_A^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $R(Q^n) \subseteq \ker(A)$.

For $x \in H$, we have $\tilde{Q}_0^n[x] = [Q^n x] = [0]$. Thus \tilde{Q}_0 is a nilpotent operator on $H/\ker(A)$ of order $n_0 \leq n$. We can consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{T} & H \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ H/\ker(A) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}_0} & H/\ker(A) \end{array}$$

where φ is the canonical projection map. Then, \tilde{T}_0 is supercyclic. Let \mathcal{K} be the completion of $H/\ker(A)$ and \tilde{T} , \tilde{Q} and \tilde{S} the extensions of \tilde{T}_0 , \tilde{Q}_0 and \tilde{S}_0 on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} . Then $\tilde{T} = \tilde{S} + \tilde{Q}$, where \tilde{S} is an m -isometry, \tilde{Q} is an n_0 -nilpotent and $\tilde{S}\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}\tilde{S}$. However, \tilde{T} is N_0 -supercyclic, with $1 \leq N_0 \leq N$. On the other hand, [9, Theorem 3.1] implies that \tilde{T} is a $(2n_0 + m - 2)$ -isometry on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} .

We suppose that $N < \dim(H/\ker(A)) < \infty$, so we get a contradiction by [13, Theorem 3.4]. If $\dim(H/\ker(A)) = \infty$, then we obtain that \tilde{T} is a $(2n_0 + m - 2)$ -isometry which is N_0 -supercyclic on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, which is a contradiction [8, Theorem 3.3]. \square

Remark 3.1. The condition of invariance of $\ker(A)$ under the operator S , in the above theorem is necessary. In fact, if $S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ of $L(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then it is clear that A is a positive operator and S is a strict $(A, 3)$ -isometry which satisfies that $S(\ker(A)) \subsetneq \ker(A)$. So in this case is not well defined the operator \tilde{S} .

Theorem 3.4 could be obtained in Banach space context using the definition of Duggal of (A, m, p) -isometry.

Define the map $N_p := (\beta_{m-1}^{(p)}(A, T, \cdot))^{1/p} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then N_p is a semi-norm satisfying

$$(\beta_{m-1}^{(p)}(A, T, x))^{1/p} \leq \|A\| (1 + \|T\|^p)^{\frac{m-1}{p}} \|x\|,$$

and

$$T(\ker(N_p)) \subseteq \ker(N_p).$$

where

$$\beta_\ell^{(p)}(A, T, x) := \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{\ell}{k} \|AT^k x\|^p.$$

The proof of the following result is similar to Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $S, Q \in L(X)$, S is an (A, m, p) -isometry and Q an N_p -nilpotent satisfying $Q(\ker(N_p)) \subseteq \ker(N_p)$ and $SQ = QS$. If $\dim(X/\ker(N_p)) > N$, then $T = S + Q$ is not N -supercyclic.*

Acknowledgements: The first author was supported in part by MEC and FEDER, Project MTM2016-75963-P. The third author was supported in part by Departamento de Análisis Matemático Vicerrectorado de Internacionalización, Universidad de La Laguna and Le Laboratoire de Recherche Mathématiques et Applications LR17ES11, Université de Gabès UNG933989527.

REFERENCES

1. J. Agler, *A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators*, Amer. J. Math., **112** (1990), no. 1, 1–14.
2. B. Abdullah, T. Le, *The structure of m -isometric weighted shift operators*, Operator and Matrices, **10**, (2016), no. 2, 319–334.
3. J. Agler, J. Helton, M. Stankus, *Classification of hereditary matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **274** (1998), 125–160.
4. J. Agler, M. Stankus, *m -isometric transformations of Hilbert space I*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **21** (1995), no. 4, 383–429.
5. J. Agler, M. Stankus, *m -isometric transformations of Hilbert space II*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **23** (1995), no. 1, 1–48.
6. J. Agler, M. Stankus, *m -isometric transformations of Hilbert space III*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **24** (1996), no. 4, 379–421.
7. S. I. Ansari, P. S. Bourdon, *Some properties of cyclic operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **63** (1997), no. 1-2, 195–207.
8. F. Bayart, *m -isometries on Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr., **284** (2011), no. 17-18, 2141–2147.
9. T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón, V. Müller, J. Noda, *Perturbation of m -isometries by nilpotent operators*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2014), Article ID 745479, 6 pp.
10. T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón, E. Negrín, *Weighted shift operators which are m -isometries*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **68** (2010), no. 3, 301–312.
11. T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón, J. A. Noda, *An isometry plus a nilpotent operator is an m -isometry*, Applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **407** (2013), no. 2, 505–512.
12. T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón, J. Noda, H. Zaway, *Examples of m -isometries*, preprint.
13. P. S. Bourdon, N. S. Feldman, K. H. Shapiro, *Some properties of N -supercyclic operators*, Studia Math., **165** (2004), no. 2, 135–157.
14. B. P. Duggal, *Tensor product of n -isometries III*, Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation, **4** (2012), 61–67.
15. M. Faghih-Ahmadi, *Powers of A - m -Isometric Operators and Their Supercyclicity*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci., **39** (2016), no. 3, 901–911.
16. M. Faghih-Ahmadi, K. Hedayatian, *Hypercyclicity and supercyclicity of m -isometric operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **42** (2012), 15–23.
17. C. Gu, M. Stankus, *Some results on higher order isometries and symmetries: products and sums with a nilpotent operator*, Linear Algebra Appl., **469** (2015), 500–509.
18. K. Hedayatian, *N -supercyclicity of an A - m -isometry*, Honam Math. J., **37** (2015), no. 3, 281–285.
19. P. Hoffmann, M. Mackey, M. Ó. Searcóid, *On the second parameter of an (m, p) -isometry*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **71** (2011), no. 3, 389–405.
20. S. Jung, Y. Kim, E. Ko, J. E., *On (A, m) -expansive operators*, Studia Math., **213** (2012), no. 1, 3–23.
21. T. Le, *Algebraic properties of operator roots of polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **421** (2015), no. 2, 1238–1246.
22. R. Rabaoui, A. Saddi, *On the orbit of an A - m -isometry*, Ann. Math. Sil., **26** (2012), 75–91.
23. O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, A. Saddi, *A - m -isometric operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl., **436** (2012), 3930–3942.
24. O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, *On $A(m, p)$ -expansive and $A(m, p)$ -hypercyclic operators on Banach spaces-II*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **5** (2015), no. 2, 123–148.
25. S. Yarmahmoodi, K. Hedayatian, B. Yousefi, *Supercyclicity and hypercyclicity of an isometry plus a nilpotent*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2011), Article ID 686832, 11 pp.
26. I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, Series, and Products*, New York: Academic Press, (1980).

T. Bermúdez

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de la Laguna, 38271, La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain.
e-mail: tbermude@ull.es

A. Saddi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Gabes 6072, Tunisia.

e-mail: saddi.adel@gmail.com

H. Zaway

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Gabes 6072, Tunisia.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de la Laguna, 38271, La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain.

e-mail: hajer_zaway@live.fr