Turk J Elec Eng & Comp Sci (): – © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/elk-

¹ Towards an Ontology-based Approach to the "New Normality" after COVID-19: ² the Spanish Case during Pandemic First Wave

Evelio GONZALEZ^{1*}

¹Departamento de Ingeniería Informática y de Sistemas, Universidad de La Laguna (Spain)

Received: .201	•	Accepted/Published Online: .201	•	Final Version:201
-----------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	-------------------

Abstract: The impact of the pandemic caused by COVID-19 has been immense in all fields of human activity. In 4 most of the affected countries, the authorities have decreed a series of legal measures to try to stop the growth of the 5 disease and the number of people affected by it. These legal measures involved, in most cases, restrictions on the free 6 movement of people and on work and trade activities, new hygiene procedures and social distancing. In the particular 7 case of Spain, the rapid evolution of the pandemic led to the declaration of a so-called state of alarm and a period of 8 confinement in private homes. The novelty of the situation and the large number of official decrees approved may have q led to confusion among the Spanish population in some cases. In this context, in this paper we present an ontological 10 approach that could be the germ of a knowledge base on the measures approved by the Spanish Government in relation 11 to the pandemic. This ontology has been developed in OWL (Ontology Web Language) for its possible compatibility 12 with Semantic Web-based applications and allows the consultation of statements related to various aspects of daily life 13 during this period. 14

¹⁵ Key words: applications, decision support, ontology, legal restrictions, COVID-19

16 1. Introduction

3

¹⁷ COVID 19 (coronavirus disease 2019) is an infectious disease caused by a coronavirus, discovered from an ¹⁸ outbreak in the city of Wuhan, China, in December 2019. Coronaviruses (a large family of viruses) are ¹⁹ responsible for serious diseases such as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) and Severe Acute ²⁰ Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). In the case of COVID-19, its most common reported symptoms are fever, dry ²¹ cough, and tiredness, although there are other less frequent symptoms [1].

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the disease a health emergency of international concern in late January 2020 due to its rapid spread. The coronavirus continued to spread in many countries and the WHO declared a pandemic situation on March 11. The Coronavirus Resource Center at Johns Hopkins University reported that as of January 2021, more than 92 million people had been affected and more than 1,950,000 had died from COVID-19. The countries with the most deaths on that date were the United States, Brazil, India, Mexico, the United Kingdom, Italy, France and Russia.

The high contagiousness of the virus, together with the lack of effective vaccine has forced many national governments to adopt restrictive measures on mobility, confinement, commercial activity, among others, with the objective of avoiding the collapse of health systems. These measures have caused a cascade of negative effects on

^{*}Correspondence: ejgonzal@ull.es

the world economy, leading to a new global crisis. The social impact implied by the situation of confinement (it is
estimated that more than half of the world's population has been confined) and social distancing measures should
also be highlighted. These circumstances have led to the cancellation or postponement of major international
events such as UEFA EURO 2020, Cannes Film Festival, Eurovision Song Contest or the Tokyo Olympic Games.
The aim of this paper is to implement an ontology-based approach to storage, semantic reasoning and
consultation of those legal norms derived from the pandemic situation in Spain. More than a hundred legal
documents have been issued at the state level alone. Such legislative complexity has given rise to a series of
doubts among the Spanish population about what is permitted at each stage and under what conditions. The
possible advantages of this type of ontology have been pointed out in works such as [2]:

- To be a formal communication channel between the actors involved (legislators, scientists, population in general).
- A skeleton of a knowledge base for future and better informed discussions to determine the effectiveness of the measures implemented, once the urgency of the actions has disappeared.
- Reuse of knowledge in similar situations that may occur in the future.
- Integration into multidisciplinary information systems.
- It can be a basis for ad hoc processing applications on compliance with the rules imposed in certain situations.

To this end, we will first briefly describe the chronology of the main events that occurred in Spain during this period, as this information will better explain the rest of the article. Next, the authors will cite a series of antecedents in the literature related to the application of ontologies to describe legislative regulations. After this analysis, the authors will describe the structure of the proposed ontology in general and applied to a particular case: the walking out of children under 14 years old. The last section will be dedicated to the open lines of the work and its limitations.

24 2. Brief chronology of the COVID-19 in Spain (First wave)

This section attempts to show a chronology of the main events that occurred in Spain during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is not an exhaustive analysis, as the main reason for its inclusion in this paper is to allow the reader to better understand the subsequent sections.

As noted above, the first cases of COVID-19 were reported in China in late December 2019. After rapid 28 progress in different countries, on 31st January 2020, the National Centre for Microbiology in Spain confirmed 29 the first case of coronavirus in the country. It was a German tourist on holiday on the island of La Gomera. He 30 was isolated until he was cured a few weeks later. On 26th February, the first case not imported from abroad 31 was confirmed in Seville. The first death was reported on 3 March: a male who died on 13th February in 32 Valencia and whose death was initially attributed to severe pneumonia. Following this notification, events were 33 precipitated by the large increase in the number of cases recorded. On 9th March, several regional governments 34 decreed a suspension of school activities. The following day, the Spanish government suspended flights to Italy, 35 the main focus of the disease at the time. 36

On 13th March, Spanish President Pedro Sanchez (based on the recommendations of experts such as the Director of the Health Alert and Emergency Coordination Centre of the Spanish Ministry of Health, Fernando Simón) declared a so-called "state of alarm" for an initial period of 15 days, which could be extended. This

decree meant in practice the confinement of the population to their homes, allowing only some departures as for
the purchase of food. The state of alert also implied the reduction of economic activity only to sectors declared
as essential, as well as the definition of the national government as the sole responsible authority.

The first extension of the state of alert (28th March) led to a tightening of the confinement, as it forced all non-essential service workers to remain in their homes for two weeks. This situation was reversed to conditions similar to those of the first days of the state of alert in the second extension decreed on 12th April. The number of cases registered at that time was about 166,000 and the number of deaths reached 17,000. From late March to early April, more than 900 deaths per day from the disease were officially recorded.

On 26th April, the third extension of the state of alarm occurs, which includes a first measure of relaxation of the confinement. Children under 14 years of age were allowed to go out for a walk once a day, for a maximum duration of one hour, between 9 a.m. and 9 p.m., accompanied by a responsible adult, without having access to playgrounds. This measure had a great social impact on Spanish society, which had been confined for more than 40 days.

From this point, the process of de-escalation of the situation is designed, towards what has been called "new normality" and implemented through the successive extensions of the state of alarm, every fifteen days until the last one, in effect until 21st June. This process of moving towards the "new normality" consisted of four phases (from Phase 0 to Phase 3). Every two weeks, the different territorial units defined by the authorities can progress through the different phases according to the state of the epidemic in them. There is a possibility of regression if conditions are not favourable. Table 1 shows some characteristics (not exhaustive) of each of the de-escalation phases.

As of September 2020, the Spanish health authorities reported about 29,000 deaths from COVID-19 and more than 460,000 affected, although there was some controversy about these data.

23 3. Related work: ontologies and legislation

Ontologies can be defined as formal models for the expression of knowledge, and are currently used in numerous fields of research and development. A widely quoted definition of the term is that of Studer [3], which describes an ontology as "a formal, explicit specification of a shared conceptualization". However, this definition is not the only one [4, 5]. In this sense, the authors would like to highlight the following definition, which is more adjusted to the context of this paper: "a well-founded mechanism for the representation and exchange of structured information" [6].

In relation to the objective of this work, the authors will mention several recent works related to the use 30 of ontologies in the field of legislation. This analysis is intentionally not exhaustive, as the reader interested in 31 a more in-depth comparison can find it in [7, 8]. Much effort has been made to semantically structure different 32 sets of laws into what is commonly called a legal ontology. Initiatives such as Akoma Ntoso [9], Legal Knowledge 33 Interchange Format (LKIF) [10], MetaLex [10] or LEXML [11] are aimed at defining standards for the exchange 34 and interoperability of legal documents. However, it is noted that lexical and terminological ambiguity is a 35 serious problem in the implementation of a legal ontology [12]. Therefore, in our opinion, one must be realistic 36 about the intrinsic limitations of ontology design in this field. Thus, in the words of Kondrashov [13]: 37

"The law has certain inherent properties that won't let fully entrust its making and enforcement to AI (...) Too many clauses and exceptions will have to be made when transforming the polysemantic terms into computers' language. Thus, the law itself would have to be transformed first."

41 With regard to specific cases of application, Gupta et al. [14] implement a legal knowledge base of

Phase	Description
0	Opening of small commercial premises with limited capacity
	Individual walks within the municipality
	Opening of worship centres and museums with capacity limited to one third
	Funerals are permitted with a maximum of 10 people indoors or 15 outdoors
1	Opening of bar and restaurant terraces with a maximum capacity of 50 %.
	Meetings are allowed in homes of up to 10 people
	Circulation within the territorial unit
	Resumption of all activities in the agri-food and fisheries sectors
2	Meetings are allowed in homes of up to 15 people
	Opening of all business premises with a maximum capacity of 40
	%.
	Opening of shopping centers with a maximum capacity of 30 %.
	Table service inside bars and restaurants with a maximum capac-
	ity of 40% and physical separation measures
	Funerals are permitted with a maximum of 15 people indoors or 25 outdoors.
	Resumption of professional sports leagues, always behind closed
	doors and without an audience
	Beach opening with limitations.
3	Meetings of up to 20 people
	Freedom of movement throughout the territorial unit
	Elimination of slots for walks and outdoor sports
	Capacity increase in funerals, restaurants, weddings, museums,
	etc.
New Normality	End of national restrictions. The use of hygienic masks remains
	mandatory

 Table 1. De-Escalation Phases (Excerpt)

¹ Chinese legal documents. For this purpose, they analyze over one million judicial decision documents. The ² resulting ontology proposed focuses on each of the actors and elements in a judicial act. Giord [15] presents an

argument-based legal research search engine model, where the arguments are assigned as nodes in an ontology
 tree.

Mockus and Palminari [16] have developed an ontology named as Ontology of Open Government Data Licenses Framework for a Mash-up Model (OGDL4M) for qualifying and connecting each applicable legal rule to official legal texts. Castro Júnior et al. [17] introduce an ontology in order to improve results of data mining in judicial decisions database. Rabinia and Ghanavati [18] develop a new goal modeling framework based on Goal-oriented Requirements Language (GRL) to facilitate the automation of the legal requirements modeling process.

Geko and Tjoa [19] propose an ontology-based data protection knowledge base, interpendent of both the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (adopted by the European Union-Commission) and information security. Palmirani [9] points how to apply Akoma Ntoso to FAO Resolutions.

Francesconi and Governatori [20] design an ontology-based approach for legal compliance checking within a Linked Open Data framework, through the use of properties restrictions able to be processed by Ontology Web Language (OWL) reasoners.

Leone and Di Caro [21] identify standard use cases in data protection field with the aim of sharing existing knowledge formalizations. Alves Soares, Ventura Martins and Rodrigues da Silva [22] introduce the LegalLanguage, a domain-specific language for the authoring and specification of laws.

Palminari et al. [23] develop a ontology called PrOnto (Privacy Ontology) by using the MeLOn (Method ology for building Legal Ontology) methodology. This ontology is designed to support legal reasoning and check
 compliance.

Another work to highlight is Euromos [24], a legal document management system based on legislative 23 XML and ontologies. Bartolini, Muthuri and Santos [25] propose a bottom-up ontology for the description 24 in the field of data protection and its relationships. Chalkidis et al. [26] are the responsibles of Nomothesia, 25 a web application for the access to the Greek legislation. Waltl, Reschenhofer and Matthes [27] implement a 26 decision support system to represent the semantics of legal norms, making use of MxL (Model Based Expression 27 Language). Fawei et al [28] present a system to model and implement the automatic application of legal 28 knowledge using a rule-based approach, using Semantic Web Rule Language (SWRL). Maftuhah, Purwarianti 29 and Asnar [29] design a method for the representation of a Indonesian Republic regulation into an ontology for 30 reasoning systems. 31

32 4. Proposed ontology

As can be seen from the bibliographic references, there are multiple alternatives to follow for the implementation 33 of a legal ontology. This fact demonstrates the versatility and adaptability in the field, but also suggests a 34 difficulty in the elaboration of a standard that covers the multitude of different cases involved. Moreover, after 35 a more in-depth analysis of the references mentioned, the resulting option is the design of an ad hoc ontology 36 for each case, which will optimize the computational performance. This does not imply that one or several 37 of the mentioned proposals can be adapted to the specific case. The resulting design seeks the simplification 38 of interoperability rather than the complexity of semantic completeness, taking into account the limitations 39 indicated by Kondrashov. In this sense, for example, in this work an ontology has been designed that is 40

compatible with a subset of the Hohfeldian model of law [30], but does not cover it in its entirety. This ontology
 allows the semantic characterization in the legislative sense for the construction of a knowledge base.

An important detail is the delimitation of the legislation to be covered by the ontology to a reduced set of Spanish legislation (the one related to the measures resulting from the pandemic episode) in a limited 4 period of time. This allows us to approach the task of elaborating the ontology in a systematic way, without the complications typical of the semi-automatic translation into a formal system of a field not specifically designed for it. Even this task would be more complicated given the characteristics of the Spanish language, where there no strict word order in the syntactic structure. Even with these restrictions in mind, a series of tests were is initially carried out with existing systems for the elaboration of ontologies from natural language. The FRED tool was used to evaluate the complexity and goodness of ontology translation, but was discarded because it 10 offered a cumbersome structure (derived in large part from the complex nature of administrative language and 11 the commented characteristics of the Spanish language) that was difficult to reuse and connect between the 12 different concepts that appeared in the legislative documents. 13

With reference to the proposed ontology, we will focus from now on describing its elements. Ontologies are usually composed of concepts, relationships, instances and axioms (valid rules in the domain model). There are several tools for its implementation, although Protégé is the tool used in the vast majority of ontology designs. Protégé is free, W3C standards compliant and open-source with a vast user community. Regarding the ontology language, the authors have used OWL, whose specification depends directly on the W3C and that offers great possibilities of semantic interoperability. To check the consistency of the ontology, reasoners such as Pellet, HermiT and ELK have been used in this work.

Due to the nature of the ontology, and to provide it with versatility, it is necessary to introduce the 21 possibility of using classes as property values. This need is quite common when building an ontology. However 22 this is not possible in the OWL DL and OWL Lite varieties (two OWL subsets that do not cover all the 23 specifications but guarantee the processing of the resulting ontology). W3C indicates several possibilities to 24 perform this action. Taking into account the scalability and use of the ontology, we have chosen to create 25 special instances of the class to be used as property values. This option has the disadvantage of the necessary 26 maintenance of the set of instances, but in this case it is preferable to other more complex options or with 27 compatibility problems in the reasoning. 28

In this case, the modeling objects in the knowledge base are the constraints that arise from the declaration 29 of the alarm state, so the ontology will focus on that subset of the legal speech. However, the ontology can 30 be easily extended to include other types of legal acts/specific regulations, such as those indicated in [20]. As 31 suggested above, one of the design criteria is the simplicity of the ontology, regardless of the details inherent 32 in the legal field. The intention is to indicate to possible users what they can do at a given moment. With 33 this configuration (and the limitation to a reduced period and legislative package), a minimum treatment of the 34 legal cross-references resolution has been chosen. This intended simplicity allows, again, the easy inclusion of 35 new elements and types of documentation. 36

As expected from such a legal ontology, the authors will include both legal and real-world concepts in it. The main classes of the ontology are shown in Figure 1. The main unit of the ontology is going to be the class Statement, which can be described as the set of elements of the legislation linked in a semantic and temporal way. An example of Statement can be the one related to the opening of places of worship in a concrete phase of the de-escalation process.

42

The Statement class is enriched with a series of classes, object properties and data properties that act

as metadata and facilitate searches within the ontology. First, the hasStatementCategoryType property allows to define one or several categories for the Statement class. To do this, several instances of a class called StatementCategoryType are defined. Examples are ChildrenCategotyType, UseOfPublicSpacesCategoryType and RestaurantOpeningCategoryType. Other information includes the phase of the epidemic episode to which the Statement relates and the date the provision takes effect. This allows you to filter searches and process updates of the various legal provisions. Therefore, the ontology includes the hasPhase and hasStartDate properties.

An important aspect is the hasRestriction property, which allows relating the Statement class with a class called Restriction. This Restriction class models in the knowledge base the conditions imposed by the authorities. A Statement has as many hasRestriction relationships as restrictions involved. The expression 1 indicates this link through DL syntax.

$has Restriction^{I} \subseteq Statement I^{I} x Restriction^{I} \tag{1}$

Each restriction is formalized by five properties (four object properties and one data property). In this 12 way it is possible to include the entities related (instances of the RestrictionEntity class) with the actions 13 involved (instances of the Action class) and their possible numerical modifiers. For these modifiers a new 14 NumericRestrictionType class is used, which determines the nature of the restriction limitation through its 15 instances (lower or upper limit, inclusive or exclusive, exact or no restriction). Finally, the value of the numerical 16 constraint (if any) is included by means of a character string formed as a Well-Known Text (WKT) formed by 17 the value and the unit of measure. This type of inclusion simplifies the structure and formation of the search 18 string. For example, the restriction "a store must have a customer occupancy of at most one half of its capacity" 19 could be expressed as follows: 20

where a series of instances of the corresponding classes have been defined so that they can be objects of the corresponding properties.

Other properties, classes and instances are added to this basic ontology skeleton to cover the different restrictions that appear in the Official State Bulletin in Spain (BOE) during the alarm period. These new definitions are compatible with the original structure. A non-exhaustive list of these secondary definitions is shown in Tables 2 and 3.

²⁷ 5. An example: children can go out for a walk

The implementation process is detailed below, where the information about name space prefixes has been omitted for the sake of simplicity. As a first step, an instance of the type Statement is created, with its descriptive properties: effective date, category, etc.

$$Statement(ChildrenWalkingStatement)^{I}$$
 (3)

Class	Subclasses	
Statement	ForceMajeureSituationStatement, NonForceMajeureSituation-	
	Statement	
People	COVID19Suspect, NoCOVID19Suspect, PeopleByAge, People-	
	ByTownPopulation, ThirdParty	
PeopleByAge	Adult, Children, PeopleFrom14Under18	
Adult	AdultByAge, DomesticEmployee, ResponsibleAdult	
Place	BusinessPlace. ChildrenPlayground, Home, SportsArea, Town	
PublicAdministration	AutonomousRegionGovernment, SpanishGovernment	
Town	LessThan5000PopulationTown, PlusThan5000PopulationTown	

 Table 2. Other Subclasses In The Ontology

Table 3. Examples of object properties and data properties in the proposed ontology

Object property/ Data property	Domain	Range
hasResponsibleAdult	Children	Adult
isInChargeOf	DomesticEmployee	Children or People-
		From14Under18
livesWith	People	People
hasAge	People	xsd:int
hasCOVIDSymptoms	People	xsd:boolean
isRepelaed	Statement	xsd:boolean

Some of the identified restrictions instances are carried out through the definition of new instances of the classes defined in the ontology, while others, due to their definition nature, are solved through SWRL rules or a combination of both options. Of those seen in Table 4, the following are analyzed in depth.

Restriction 1: For the purposes of this order, children shall mean persons under the age of 14. In this
 case, the Children subclass and the corresponding SWRL rule are defined.

$$Children^{I} \subseteq People^{I}$$

$$People(?x) \land hasAge(?x, ?age) \land swrlb: lessThan(?age, 14) \rightarrow Children(?x)$$

$$(4)$$

Restriction 4: Such circulation is limited (...) at a distance of no more than one kilometer from the
 r child's home. The necessary instances are defined and those values corresponding to the defined properties are

Restriction $\#$	Description
R1	For the purposes of this order, children shall mean persons under
	the age of 14.
R2	The circulation is limited to a daily walk.
R3	Such circulation is limited $()$ lasting a maximum of one hour.
R4	Such circulation is limited (\dots) at a distance of no more than one
	kilometer from the child's home.
R5	Such circulation is limited $()$ between 9 a.m. and 9 p.m.
R6	Children who present symptoms or are in home isolation due to
	a diagnosis of COVID-19, or who are in home quarantine because
	they have had contact with someone with symptoms or diagnosed
	with COVID-19, may not make use of the authorization contained
	in the previous section.
R7	The daily walk should be done in groups of a maximum of one
	responsible adult and (\dots)
R8	The daily walk should be done in groups of a maximum of $()$
	and up to three children.
$\mathbf{R9}$	During the daily walk, an interpersonal distance of at least two
	meters must be maintained with third parties.
R10	Access to outdoor children's play areas and (\dots) will not be
	permitted.
R11	Access to outdoor (\dots) sports facilities will not be permitted.
R12	For the purposes of this order, a responsible adult is a person of
	legal age living in the same household as the child at present, or
	a household employee in charge of the child.
R13	When the responsible adult is a person other than the par-
	ents, guardians, conservators, foster parents or legal or de facto
	guardians, he or she must have prior authorization from them.

 Table 4. Restrictions derived from legislation about children's walk (Spanish Official State Bulletin, 25th April 2020

 $Restriction(DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction)^{I}$

 $Place(PlaceImplementation)^{I}$

 $Home(HomeImplementation)^{I}$

< DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction, PlaceImplementation >: hasSubject

< DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction, PlaceImplementation >: hasAction (5)
< DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction, HomeImplementation >: hasObject
< DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction, IncludingUpperLimitType >: hasNumericRestrictionType
< DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction, "1; kilometer;" >: hasWKTValue
< ChildrenWalkingStatement, DistanceFromHome1KmRestriction >: hasRestriction

Restriction 12: For the purposes of this order, a responsible adult is a person of legal age living in the same household as the child at present, or a household employee in charge of the child. In this case, the following elements are defined in the ontology, two subclasses and two SWRL rules.

 $DomesticEmployee^{I} \subseteq Adult^{I}$ $Adult^{I} \subseteq People^{I}$ $Adult(?x) \land Children(?y) \land livesWith(?x,?y) \rightarrow hasResponsibleAdult(?y,?x)$ $DomesticEmployee(?x) \land Children(?y) \land isInChargeOf(?x,?y) \rightarrow hasResponsibleAdult(?y,?x)$ (6)

This structure allows the execution of searches, using standard languages for this purpose, SPARQL or SQWRL. For illustrative purposes, the following expression implies a search expressed in SQWRL for the list of restrictions in the case of children's walk.

 $Statement(?x) \land hasStatementCategoryType(?x, ChildrenWalking) \land hasRestriction(?x, ?y) \land hasSubject(?y, ?a) \land hasObject(?y, ?b) \land hasAction(?y, ?z) \land (7)$

 $hasNumericRestrictionType(?y,?c) \land hasWKTValue(?y,?d) \rightarrow sqwrl: select(?x,?y,?a,?z,?b,?c,?d)$

8 6. Conclusions and future work

9 In this article, the authors provide an ontology-based design to efficiently describe the legal restrictions suffered

¹⁰ in Spain during the episode of the COVID-19 pandemic (March-June 2020). The proposed ontology is based on

a work unit called Statement that can be described as the set of elements of the legislation linked in a semantic

¹² and temporal way. In addition to allowing a semantic search by the user, this configuration could allow the study

¹³ of the epidemic episode from various points of view, including legislative and social. The proposed ontology can

¹⁴ also serve as a basis for the implementation of ad hoc systems to verify if a certain situation in the real world

complies with the legal regulations expressed in the knowledge base. Future work is focused on the effective
 implementation of these systems following Semantic Web standards.

³ The ontology has been created from the information that appeared in the Spanish BOE. However, the

4 efficient structure of the ontology can be used to include other sources of information such as legislation approved

5 by regional authorities, since the design allows a progressive definition of the knowledge involved.

6 Acknowledgment

8

⁷ E.J. Gonzalez gave the idea, did the experiments, interpreted the results and wrote the paper.

References

9 [1] Zarkov D. On economy, health and politics of the Covid19 pandemic. European Journal of Women's Studies. 2020.

10 [2] Pileggi S, Lamia S. Climate change TimeLine: An ontology to tell the story so far. IEEE Access. 2020;PP:1-1.

- [3] Studer R, Benjamins VR, Fensel D. Knowledge engineering: Principles and methods. Data Knowl Eng. 1998;25(1-2):161-197.
- ¹³ [4] Gruber TR. A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. Knowledge Acquisition. 1993;5(2):199-220.
- ¹⁴ [5] Uschold M, Gruninger M. Ontologies: Principles, methods and applications. Knowl Eng Rev. 1996;11(2):93-136.
- [6] Ye J, Coyle L, Dobson S, Nixon P. Ontology-based models in pervasive computing systems. Knowl Eng Rev.
 2007;22(4):315-347.
- [7] Leone V, Di Caro L, Villata S. Taking stock of legal ontologies: A feature-based comparative analysis. Artificial
 Intelligence and Law. 2019.
- [8] Mommers L. Ontologies in the legal domain. In: Poli R, Seibt J, eds. Theory and applications of ontology:
 Philosophical perspectives. Dordrecht: Springer; 2010.
- [9] Palmirani M. Akoma ntoso for making FAO resolutions accessible. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applica tions. 2019;317:159-169.
- ²³ [10] Boer A, Winkels R, Vitali F. Proposed XML standards for law: MetaLex and LKIF. 2007:19-28.
- [11] De Martim H, Lima JADO, Araujo LC. Base of brazilian legal norms: An open government data initiative [base de normas jurídicas brasileiras: Uma iniciativa de open government data]. Perspectivas em Ciencia da Informacao.
 2018:23(4):133-149.
- [12] Kurcheeva G, Rakhvalova M, Rakhvalova D, Bakaev M. Mining and indexing of legal natural language texts with
 domain and task ontology. Communications in Computer and Information Science. 2019;947:123-137.
- ²⁹ [13] Kondrashov I, Ivanov A, Zschage H, et al. LegalTech and lawyers of the future. Law. 2017;11:20-36.
- [14] Gupta A, Wang AZ, Lin K, et al. Toward building a legal knowledge-base of chinese judicial documents for large-scale
 analytics. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications. 2017;302:135-144.
- [15] Giord M. LexrideLaw: An argument based legal search engine. Proceedings of the International Conference on
 Artificial Intelligence and Law. 2017:271-272.
- [16] Mockus M, Palmirani M. Legal ontology for open government data mashups. Proceedings of the 7th International
 Conference for E-Democracy and Open Government, CeDEM 2017. 2017:113-124.
- [17] Júnior APC, Veiga EF, Barbosa JLF, et al. Ontology applied in the judicial sentences. 2017 CHILEAN Conference on
 Electrical, Electronics Engineering, Information and Communication Technologies, CHILECON 2017 Proceedings.
 2017;2017-January:1-6.

- 1 [18] Rabinia A, Ghanavati S. The FOL-based legal-GRL (FLG) framework: Towards an automated goal modeling
- approach for regulations. Proceedings 2018 8th International Model-Driven Requirements Engineering Workshop,
 MoDRE 2018. 2018:58-67.
- [19] Geko M, Tjoa S. An ontology capturing the interdependence of the general data protection regulation (GDPR) and
 information security. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. 2018.
- [20] Francesconi E, Governatori G. Legal compliance in a linked open data framework. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence
 and Applications. 2019;322:175-180.
- [21] Leone V, Di Caro L. Frequent use cases extraction from legal texts in the data protection domain. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications. 2019;322:193-198.
- [22] Soares AA, Martins PV, da Silva AR. LegalLanguage: A domain-specific language for legal contexts. Lecture Notes
 in Business Information Processing. 2020;374 LNBIP:33-51.
- [23] Palmirani M, Martoni M, Rossi A, Bartolini C, Robaldo L. PrOnto: Privacy ontology for legal reasoning. Lecture
 Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in
 Bioinformatics). 2018;11032 LNCS:139-152.
- [24] Boella G, Caro LD, Humphreys L, Robaldo L, Rossi P, van der Torre L. Eunomos, a legal document and knowledge
 management system for the web to provide relevant, reliable and up-to-date information on the law. Artificial
 Intelligence and Law. 2016;24(3):245-283.
- [25] Bartolini C, Muthuri R, Santos C. Using ontologies to model data protection requirements in workflows. Lecture
 Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in
 Bioinformatics). 2017;10091 LNCS:233-248.
- [26] Chalkidis I, Nikolaou C, Soursos P, Koubarakis M. Modeling and querying greek legislation using semantic web
 technologies. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and
 Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics). 2017;10249 LNCS:591-606.
- [27] Waltl B, Reschenhofer T, Matthes F. Modeling, execution and analysis of formalized legal norms in model based
 decision structures. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence
 and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics). 2018;10791:157-171.
- [28] Fawei B, Wyner A, Pan JZ, Kollingbaum M. Using legal ontologies with rules for legal textual entailment. Lecture
 Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in
 Bioinformatics). 2018;10791:317-324.
- [29] Maftuhah TS, Purwarianti A, Asnar YDW. Ontology modelling on legal document: Case study : Document of
 indonesian republic. Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 2019;1201(1).
- [30] Markovich R. Understanding hohfeld and formalizing legal rights: The hohfeldian conceptions and their conditional
 consequences. Studia Logica. 2020;108(1):129-158.