Changes in thermic limits and acclimation assessment of an alpine plant by chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: F_v/F_m *vs.* R_{fd}

A.V. PERERA-CASTRO, P. BRITO and A.M. GONZÁLEZ-RODRÍGUEZ[*]

Department of Botany, Ecology and Plant Physiology, Universidad de La Laguna (ULL), 38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain.

Abstract

A comparison between maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry (F_v/F_m) and chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence decrease ratio (R_{fd}) in low and high temperatures resistance is assessed in a seasonal study of the acclimation of *Pterocephalus lasiospermus*. Analyzing the regression adjustment of both parameters and the lethal temperatures (LT_{50}), R_{fd} resulted to be a more sensitive indicator parameter for low and high temperature treatments, since thermic resistance estimated with R_{fd} parameter was never higher than those estimated with F_v/F_m. Furthermore, the use of F_v/F_m led to an overestimation of the acclimation phenomena, with 6 C° of maxima difference between both parameters. Using R_{fd} as indicator parameter, *P. lasiospermus* acclimated to low temperatures but it kept on being a sensitive species (the lowest LT_{50} values only achieved –9.9 ± 0.3ºC). However, any heat acclimation was observed (LT_{50} around 43.5ºC). Thus, according to R_{fd} evaluation of thermic threshold, this species could be in risk of damage for low temperatures in this alpine ecosystem.

Additional key words: freezing acclimation, heat, high mountain, *Pterocephalus lasiospermus*, temperature resistance, Teide National Park, vitality index.

[*]e-mail: aglerod@ull.es

Abbreviations: a.s.l – above sea level; Chl – chlorophyll; F_0 – minimal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state; F_0' – minimal fluorescence yield of the light-adapted state; F_m – maximal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state; F_m' – maximal fluorescence yield of the light-adapted state; F_s – steady-state fluorescence yield; F_v – variable fluorescence; F_v/F_m – maximal quantum yield of PSII photochemistry; LT_{50} – temperatures which cause a 50% of damage; R_{fd} – Chl fluorescence decrease ratio or vitality index.

Acknowledgements

Introduction

Chl *a* fluorescence has been widely recognized as a noninvasive technic for monitoring photosynthetic activity and for assessing plant responses to a variety of environmental stresses (Baker 2008). Low and high temperatures have been shown to be two of those stressful factors (Neuner and Pramsohler 2006, Ehlert and Hincha 2008), since both membrane fluidity and protein conformation changes are affected (Ruelland and Zachowski 2010).

Several methods have been developed to assess extreme temperature tolerance. Generally, the calculation of LT_{50} values, the lethal temperature at which irreversible damage is provoked, is of interest, since it delimits resistance to thermic stress and allows comparisons between species. Probably, the most common methods used for the calculation of LT_{50} values are visual damage, electrolyte leakage and Chl fluorescence. However, in some species necrotic damage is not clearly observed (*e.g.* Sierra-Almeida and Cavieres 2010) or perceptible electrolyte release is not detected (*e.g.* Cieraad *et al.* 2012). Thus, the choice of the suitable Chl fluorescence parameter as indicator of thermic damage is of great importance. F_v/F_m is one of the most known Chl fluorescence parameter and it reflects the state of the initial part of photosynthesis, it is, PSII and primary acceptor transport. Other several Chl parameters have been also described. One of them is the Chl fluorescence decrease ratio or the vitality index (R_{fd}), introduced in the 1980s (Lichtenthaler *et al.* 1986, Haitz and Lichtenthaler 1988, Lichtenthaler and Rinderle 1988). R_{fd} is a physiological straight-forward indicator of photosynthetic quantum conversion of leaves, it is, the capacity of using the potential photochemical activity of PSII under continuous irradiation, which is not really registered with F_v/F_m (Haitz and Lichtenthaler 1988, Lichtenthaler *et al.* 2005a). R_{fd} depends on the ongoing of the whole electron transport chain and final synthesis of ATP and NADPH, and has been demonstrated to be highly correlated with CO_2 fixation (Haitz and Lichtenthaler 1988, Flexas *et al.* 2002, Lichtenthaler *et al.* 2005a). Thus, theoretically both parameters must show a different response to a thermic stress if different

photosynthesis processes are involved. However, the thermic damage studies by chlorophyll fluorescence focus mainly in F_v/F_m as indicator of damage (eg. Fisker *et al.* 1995, Germino and Smith 2000, Bannister and Lord 2006, Ehlert and Hincha 2008, Martínez-Peñalver *et al.* 2011, Sierra-Almeida and Cavieres 2012, Venn *et al.* 2013, Briceño *et al.* 2014, Pescador *et al.* 2016), and few studies consider R_{fd} (Balota and Lichtenthaler 1999, Murkowski 2001, Mishra *et al.* 2014). Although contrasting between Chl fluorescence and electrolyte leakeage (Ehlert and Hincha 2008) and visual damage methods (Bannister *et al.* 2005) have been conducted, to our knowledge, studies that evidence simultaneously the variability in LT_{50} values obtained by F_v/F_m and R_{fd} are absent.

Many studies have been developed in order to determine low and high temperature resistance of many tropical, temperate and polar species (*e.g.* Sakai and Larcher 1987, Larcher 2003b). As a result, it has been reported that some species, including many plants from temperate climates, are able to increase their thermic resistance as a result of a previous exposition in a process termed acclimation, both to low temperatures (Thomashow 1999, Xin and Browse 2000, Pflug and Brüggerman 2012) and high temperatures (Dat *et al.* 1998, Larkindale and Huang 2004, Sheng *et al.* 2006, Wahid *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, most plants follow a variable annual cycle of acclimation and deacclimation (Larcher 2003c) and even in terms of heat-tolerance diurnal variations of LT_{50} values have also been reported (Buchner and Neuner 2003).

Temperature thresholds and acclimation phenomena are of special interest, since thermic stress is one of the most important limiting factors that determinate the plant survival, especially in alpine ecosystems, where freezing stress is the first environmental "filter" that a species has to pass to become "alpine" and where heat stress may appears every time solar radiation peaks and top soils dry (Körner 2003, Bannister *et al.* 2005, Larcher *et al.* 2010, Sierra-Almeida and Cavieres 2012). It is precisely in alpine ecosystems where climate change effects act more quickly than in other terrestrial habitats, causing biodiversity loss, habitat degradation and landscape modifications (Chersich *et al.* 2015), depending on the rate of climatic change, the species pool and the biogeographical region (Beniston 2003, Pauli *et al.* 2012). Among these high mountain habitats, the Mediterranean alpine ecosystems deserve particular attention for hosting a high number of endemic and rare plants (Pauli *et al.* 2003). In these privilege areas little detailed studies have been done (Cabrera 2002, Evangelista *et al.* 2016), including Mediterranean alpine ecosystem of Canary Islands (Spain), which is inside a hotspot of biodiversity in Macaronesia region (Myers *et al.* 2000). The most representative alpine ecosystem of the archipelago is harbored in Tenerife and preserved in Teide National Park, where a total of 168 alpine species with a 32% of endemics have been listed (Acebes *et al.* 2010).

Teide National Park has a typically cold winter with minimum air temperatures of –5 to –2ºC and maximum temperatures of 4 to 8ºC (measured 1.5 m above ground level). Although during summer maximum temperatures can reach to 30ºC, annual mean temperatures maintain around 8ºC, which reflects a strong diurnal and seasonal oscillation in temperature, with 14.8ºC of difference between the minimum and maximum temperature mean of the coldest and warmest month of the year (Del-Arco *et al.* 2006). The high radiation (500–1,000 W m^{-2}, the highest of Spain according to Meteorology State Agency, AEMET) and the very hard edaphic conditions with Leptosols as predominant soils (Rodríguez *et al.* 2014) are also characteristic factors of this singular ecosystem.

In this ecosystem, climate change studies have denoted an increase of 0.31 ± 0.12ºC each decade in the mean temperature since 1970 (Martín *et al.* 2012). Since 1944, the minimum and maximum mean temperatures have increased 1.18 ± 0.4ºC and 0.66 ± 0.52ºC, respectively, and taking into account that extreme temperatures are considered one of the most important limiting factor in this ecosystem, its vegetal structure could change radically, involving therefore a loss of biodiversity (Del-Arco *et al.* 2008).

Currently, one of the most abundant species in Teide National Park is the endemic alpine shrub *Pterocephalus lasiospermus* Link *ex* Buch whose distribution area is restricted to the highest part of Tenerife from 2,000 to 2,500 m a.s.l. In the 1960s this species had practically disappeared, but during the recent decades it has overexpanded at the expense of other nearby species (Martín and Wildpret, 1999). Therefore, this species is of special interest due to its emblematic character as well as the fact that cause factors for its abundance and distribution changes remind undefined and are of priority for Teide National Park managers.

Given the above, the present study has the aim of 1) evaluating sensitivity of both F_v/F_m and R_{fd} parameters in low and high temperature stress detection, and 2) determining the thermic limits and the annual tolerance of the endemic alpine evergreen shrub *P. lasiospermus* by chlorophyll fluorescence in order to contribute elucidating the reasons of its recently expansion.

Materials and methods

Study site and meteorological conditions: The study site was located at 2,070 m a.s.l. in the northeast exposed slope of Teide National Park (Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain), close to El Portillo (28° 18' 16.25" N, 16° 34' 0.01" W). An automatic weather station (MiniCube VV/VX16, EMS, Brno, CZ) was installed and connected to a data logger programmed to record 30 min averages of environmental measurements taken every minute from 12th December, 2013 to 24th July, 2014. Air temperature (EMS33, EMS, Brno, CZ) was monitored in order to record all freezing events and to know the temperature context of each essay. Four essays were made during the end of 2013 and 2014: E1 (17th December), E2 (14th February), E3 (25th February) and E4 (24th July). Winter assays were developed according with the unpredictable freezing events which took place in the study site. The last assay was made in warm and dry period for comparisons of contrasted seasons.

Plant material and sampling: The sampling of each essay was done early in the morning (around 7:00 and 9:00 a.m.), since the light exposure have been reported to increase LT_{50} values at heat temperatures (Buchner *et al*., 2013). The apical parts of the stems of *P. lasiospermus* were randomly collected from plants growing naturally in the study site. Each plume was chosen from the upper part of the shrub so as to avoid variation due to different microclimates. After collecting, plumes were immediately introduced in plastic bags with hydrated atmosphere in order to prevent samples from drying out and transported to the laboratory in boxes that maintain ambient temperature, avoiding extra heating of the samples. All samples were stored overnight until the beginning of the measurements and treatments the next day morning. Then, single, healthy leaves were selected homogeneously always belonging to 3th–5th node and carefully removed from the plume immediately before temperature treatments.

Temperature treatments: In each temperature essay, leaves were put into plastic hermetic bags with hydrated atmosphere. Both cooling and heating treatments were carried out in a circulated water bath (Hetofrig CB11E, Heto, Birkerød, Denmark). Samples were immediately exposed to the target temperature for an exposure time of 30 min (Larcher 2003b, González-Rodríguez *et al*. 2005). We are aware that the exposure of leaves to freezing temperatures without a slow decrease in temperature could affect their freezing resistance, however this procedure have been reported by others authors (Sierra-Almeida and Cavieres 2012). A thermocouple fixed to the leaves indicated the exact temperature of the leaves during the temperature treatment in order to avoid the thermoprotective effect of the bag. In the case of low temperature treatments, extracellular ice formation was confirmed by the observation of freezing exotherm. A total of 24 temperatures were selected (from –20 to 0 and 30 to 50°C in 2K steps, and 20 and 25°C as non-damaging temperatures), except in E3 where only low temperatures were evaluated. Each temperature had a set of 5–9 leaves.

Chl *a* fluorescence measurements: Ch fluorescence parameters, F_v/F_m and R_{fd}, were obtained with IMAGING-PAM fluorometer (Mini blue version, *Walz GmbH*, Effeltrich, Germany). Each fluorescence data was obtained by typical integration of all the pixels values of the whole leaf area, avoiding errors derivate from heterogeneity of the damage patterns (Lichtenthaler *et al*. 2005b). F_v/F_m was calculated by applying a saturating light pulse (µmol (photon) m^{-2} s^{-1}>3,000) both before (control) and after temperature treatment on each same leaf, with a delay of ½-1 h and after 24 h. The thermal baths were done in a dark room, so that additional 30 min for dark adaptation after thermal bath was not needed. Once obtained F_v/F_m after temperature treatment, a saturating and continuous actinic light (blue light 470 nm, 923 µmol (photon) m^{-2} s^{-1}, previously tested according to the F_m' and F' values matching) was switched on and the decrease of the fluorescence was recorded until achieving to steady state (F_s) 5 min later (Lichtenthaler *et al*. 2005a), allowing R_{fd} calculation. Control R_{fd} values were calculated for non-bath-subjected leaves ($n=5$–9). All Chl fluorescence measurements were done at room temperature. In E1, measurement of Fs was not possible due to technical mishap.

Data and statistical analysis: Linear or non-linear regression between F_v/F_m and R_{fd} values was done fitting the data to a line or a curve by the Solver function of Microsoft Excel. The method employed to fit the regression curves was the least squares estimation (Seber and Wild 2003, De Lobo *et al*. 2013). The resulted model was tested with IBM SPSS *Statistics* 21 (IBM, EE.UU.).

F_v/F_m and R_{fd} values reach to zero in this species in dead leaves, so the percentage of leaf damage was equivalent to 1) the percentage of reduction of F_v/F_m after treatment with respect to the measurements before treatment of the same leaf (control), and 2) the percentage of reduction of R_{fd} after treatment with respect to non-bath-subjected control leaves. It is considered that a 50% of damage corresponds with lethal or irreversible damage, so that the temperatures which cause this percentage of damage are termed LT_{50} (Larcher 2003b). For calculation of LT_{50}, percent damage was plotted against the treatment temperatures, so that the temperature at which a 50% of damage is produced could be calculated by linear regression fitted to the central (linear) part of the sigmoid relationship between the damaging temperature and the percentage of damage, using at least three temperatures (Bannister *et al.*, 2005; Bannister, 2007). The regression models were obtained with StatGraphics (Centurion XVI.I, Manugistics, Rockville, USA) once tested normality and homoscedastic hypothesis. Apart from the mean value of LT_{50}, the upper and lower limits of the intervals were estimated with a confident interval of 95% ($\alpha = 0.05$) and the intercepts and slopes of the regression models were compared by F-test.

Results

Meteorological essays conditions: Air temperatures and freezing events recorded during the studied period are shown in Fig. 1. The first freezing event occurred on 12th of December. It was short and the absolute minimum temperatures hardly dropped at –0.7°C, with daily means of 2.1°C. E1 was developed behind this first freezing event. Along January the temperatures dropped below zero several times, reaching to –1.8°C. From 15th to 17th of February, temperatures below zero were kept. It was the strongest freezing event of the year with absolute minimum of –3.1°C and maximum of 0.8°C. E2 and E3 were developed before and after this event, respectively, with a difference of 11 days. The last freezing event occurred on 25th of May, when absolute minimum achieved –1.3°C. Finally, the last essay –E4– was developed in a summer context where temperatures had gradually increased with absolute maximum around 28°C and absolute minimum around 10°C.

F_v/F_m vs. R_{fd}: All shown data are referred to the measurements taken after thermic treatment with a delay of ½-1 h. The measurement developed after 24 h of thermic treatment are not shown, since there was neither additional damage nor recuperation values after this time.

Different behaviors were observed between both parameters depending on the period of the year and the temperatures, except in E1 where a comparison of F_v/F_m and R_{fd} values was not possible due to technical setback in the R_{fd} measurements. At high temperatures, the correlation between F_v/F_m and R_{fd} was linear (Fig. 2A). On the contrary, at low temperatures, the data were fitted to a curve with an asymptote at the highest F_v/F_m values (Fig. 2B), only perturbed by data of E4 (Fig. 2B, open circles), which showed a similar behavior to high temperatures. Thus, at low temperatures during winter F_v/F_m values below 0.6 corresponded to R_{fd} values below 1, meanwhile when the damage was due to high temperatures F_v/F_m values of 0.6 equated to R_{fd} values around 3.

This dissimilar sensitivity of both parameters was coherent with the found differences in the evaluation of the percentage of thermic damage obtained for each parameter (Fig. 3). In E2 and E3, the regression model fitted to low damage temperatures data resulted to have a significantly different intercept and slope from F_v/F_m to R_{fd} as indicator parameters (Fig. 3B,D compared with C,E). It means that in February essays (E2 and E3) low temperature treatments evaluated with F_v/F_m provoked damage earlier and more slowly (0.43 and 0.63 times more slowly in E2 and E3, respectively) than when they were evaluated with R_{fd} (the rise in 1ºC corresponded with 3.25 and 10.27% of damage more with R_{fd} than with F_v/F_m in E2 and E3, respectively). This differences was not significant at low temperatures treatments developed in E4 (Fig. 3F,G).

On the contrary, at high temperature treatment, the slope of the regression models did not significantly change between F_v/F_m and R_{fd} in both E2 and E4 (Fig. 3B,F compared with C,G). Only in E4 the intercept of the regression model was different depending on the parameter used, which not compromise the linear relation between both parameters at high temperatures already described (Fig. 2A).

LT_{50} values and acclimation phenomena. The differences detected in intercepts and slopes of the correlation models of both F_v/F_m and R_{fd} above mentioned were reflected in calculation of LT_{50} values.

At high temperatures (Fig. 4A), LT_{50} values obtained with F_v/F_m showed an increase of 1.9 ± 1.6ºC in E4 compared with E1 and 1.55 ± 1.05ºC compared with E2. On the contrary, LT_{50} values obtained with R_{fd} did not show significant variation between E2 and E4. It is clear that from the winter to the summer (from E2 to E4) F_v/F_m parameter registered an apparent acclimation to high temperatures that R_{fd} did not show.

At low temperatures (Fig. 4B), LT_{50} values obtained with F_v/F_m showed a decrease of 3.5 ± 1.5ºC between E2 to E3, which involved an acclimation phenomenon developed in 11 days. In E4 a loss of acclimation was observed in a range of 7 ± 1ºC from E3, reaching LT_{50} values of 4.25 ± 1.25ºC higher than in E1. LT_{50} values obtained with R_{fd} showed similar pattern but not so accentuated. In this case, the acclimation observed was 1.38 ± 1.12ºC in E3 respect to E2, meanwhile LT_{50} of E3 and E4 did not differ, not showing the deacclimation observed with F_v/F_m values.

Regarding the differences between LT_{50} values obtained with both parameters, variable tendencies were observed depending on temperature treatments. So, at high temperatures, the divergences of both indicators were only significant in E4 with differences of 1.8 ± 0.9ºC. At low temperatures LT_{50} values from R_{fd} were significantly higher than F_v/F_m, except in July, when both indicators became equal. The highest difference between LT_{50} values from both parameters was found in E3 with values close to 6ºC.

Discussion

F_v/F_m and R_{fd} showed different response at low and high temperature damage assessment. At high temperatures damage, linear regression between both parameters is explained by the equal sensitivity that both PSII and thylakoid lamellae likely experiment. Consistently with our data, temperature threshold of PSII has been reported to be one degree under net photosynthesis limit at high temperatures (Enami *et al*. 1994, Larcher 2003a). Other studies using the determination of the critical temperature (T_c) by Chl fluorescence reported that T_c of PSII and LT_{50} assessed by visual damage had a good linear correlation (Bilger *et al*., 1984), suggesting once again that PSII photochemistry may be the most heat sensitive process of photosynthesis. On the other hand, photochemical reactions in thylakoid lamellae and carbon metabolism in the stroma of chloroplast have been also suggested as the primary sites of injury at high temperatures (Wahid *et al*. 2007).

On the contrary, it is recognized that thylakoid membranes are the primary site of freezing damage in plant cells, thus affecting photosynthesis and CO_2 fixation (Hincha *et al*. 1996, Devacht *et al*. 2011). Low temperatures always tend to inhibit electron transport via increased membrane viscosity through alterations in the biophysical properties of thylakoid lipids. This is more strongly affected than processes involved in energy transfer and its photochemical transformation (Huner *et al*. 1998, Hendrickson *et al*. 2006). That is the reason why R_{fd} was more sensitive for estimating photosynthesis damage and could register damage faster than F_v/F_m at low temperatures. The cause of the loss of the asymptotic relation between both parameters at low temperature in summer remains unknown. We speculate that the differences of high radiation between summer and winter could be involved. It has been reported that the inhibitory effects of low temperature treatment can be greater after a period of illumination (Sassenrath *et al*. 1990, Flexas *et al*. 1999, Allen *et al*. 2000). According to our meteorological station data, irradiation increased a 66%

between summer and winter. Although chronic photoinhibition in both non-treated F_v/F_m and R_{fd} controls did not show any seasonal variation (data not shown), PSII could be more prone to inhibition and it could equate the limiting character of PSII and electron transport at low temperatures treatment on summer. Anyway, R_{fd} kept on being the more sensitive parameter in all essays, since the thermic resistance estimated with R_{fd} parameter was never higher than those estimated with F_v/F_m.

Visual damage evaluation has been considered as the method to obtain the reference LT_{50} values (Buchner *et al.* 2013). So, in that study an underestimation of heat tolerance assessed by F_v/F_m comparing with visual damage method has been reported. Unfortunately, this could not be assessed in our species because of an unclear colour difference between necrotic and healthy tissues mainly due to a high density of hair. At low temperatures, Neuner and Buchner (1999) found that indices of damage derived from F_v/F_m overestimated winter frost resistance compared with estimation of LT_{50} by digital image analysis, indicating a higher sensitivity of visual damage method. Answering if LT_{50} values obtained by R_{fd} would show a different sensitivity compared with visual damage method is outstanding.

In addition to R_{fd} values, a complete analysis of the slow phase of chlorophyll fluorescence transients (OPSMT) can be potentially used as indicators of freezing stress in photosynthetic apparatus (Mishra *et al.*, 2015). Apart from thermic stress, it has been previously reported that R_{fd} is more sensitive to a variety of stress factors than F_v/F_m. Regarding water stress, Schreiber and Bilger (1985) showed that even at a very low relative water content of 36%, where electron transport is severely inhibited, variable fluorescence is almost unchanged, suggesting that the PSII donor side and the primary reaction were not affected. Horgan and Zabkiewicz (2008) showed how R_{fd} was more sensitive and better indicator than F_v/F_m for herbicides tolerance assessment.

This different sensitivity of both parameters is also important in order to describe a real acclimation phenomenon. In this study, a maximum difference of 6°C between LT_{50} values calculated with both parameters was reported in winter. Furthermore, LT_{50} values obtained with F_v/F_m parameter had a higher variation along the studied period than ones obtained with R_{fd}. In winter, a freezing acclimation was observed with both parameters but it was more remarked with F_v/F_m than with R_{fd}. In summer F_v/F_m parameter registered an apparent acclimation to high temperatures that R_{fd} did not show. Moreover, with F_v/F_m it was showed that the freezing acclimation obtained in E3 was lost in summer, meanwhile with R_{fd} it was kept. It could mean that –at least in *P. lasiospermus*– processes involved in the efficiency of PSII and reduction of first acceptors Q_A give a more flexible response to seasonal variations and freezing events, although they are not the main limiting factor of photosynthesis at extreme temperatures. Although R_{fd} parameter was more sensitive and better indicator of thermic damage and acclimation phenomena, it does not have a unique healthy range defined in contrast to F_v/F_m parameter, whose interval have been determined in 0.74–0.85 (Lichtenthaler *et al.* 2005a). Even, it has been reported that, depending on light exposition, healthy R_{fd} values can strongly vary in the same species, with an interval of 1.3–2.7 for shade leaves, or 3.0–5.5 in sun exposed leaves (Lichtenthaler *et al.* 2005b). In our case, *P. lasiospermus* is an alpine plant daily exposed to high irradiation, so it does not surprise that R_{fd} values achieved 5.5 in the controls and a R_{fd} value of 2.75 is considered a 50% of damage. Thus, every time R_{fd}-LT_{50} values are requested for unknown species and light conditions, a control R_{fd} value must be well defined.

According to all abovementioned, the thermic limits and acclimation phenomena of *P. lasiospermus* were evaluated by the most sensitive parameter, R_{fd}. Depending on the species, it may take a few days to several weeks to reach maximum levels of freezing tolerance (Xin and Browse 2000). In *P. lasiospermus*, acclimation took place after exposition to strong freezing events in a short period of time between E2 and E3 (11 days), what is expected for a plant subjected to low temperatures every night due to strong diurnal and seasonal oscillation (Del-Arco *et al.* 2006). Although many researchers showed that heat acclimation might be acquired by a non-lethal heat treatment (Dat *et al.* 1998, Larkindale and Huang 2004, Sheng *et al.* 2006, Wahid *et al.* 2007), no heat acclimation was registered in this species in summer according to LT_{50} calculated with R_{fd}.

Furthermore, even under acclimation, this species is quite sensitive to freezing temperatures compared to other high mountain species (Larcher 2003b, Taschler and Neuner, 2004), since, according to R_{fd} values, *P. lasiospermus* suffered an irreversible damage at −9.9 ± 0.3°C. This threshold is quite close to the absolute minimum air temperatures registered in Teide National Park (−9.8ºC). As minimal leaf temperatures have been reported to be even lower than air temperature in alpine plants (Braun *et al.* 2002), freezing events could be an important risk factor in this species.

Regarding high temperatures, the threshold at 43.5°C must be carefully considered since strong diurnal variations of LT_{50} have been reported and underestimation of LT_{50} could be determined in *ex situ* essays (Buchner *et al.* 2013, Buchner and Neuner 2003). On the other hand, although air temperature registered in Teide National Park achieves to 30.4°C, leaf temperature is probably significantly higher during daytime, depending on the exposure to irradiation, the wind and the morphological growth habit of the species (Braun *et al.* 2002). Recording of leaf temperatures under high air temperatures is of great interest.

Seventy years ago, *P. lasiospermus* was described as an uncommon endemic species (Sventenius 1946), nevertheless, nowadays is one of the main species of Teide National Park landscape. Even though some authors have pointed out a selective herbivory as one possible explanation of the expansion of *P. lasiospermus* (Martín and Wildpret 1999), the current spread of this species seem to be also in coherence with our data, since the mean temperatures of Teide National Park have increased 1.3ºC in the last 90 years and the freezing events have been less frequent (Martín *et al.* 2012). However, we cannot confirm with certainty that climate warming is the primary driver of current population changes in *P. lasiospermus*, since photosynthesis is not the only physiological process limited by low temperatures. Additional studies are demanded in order to make reliable predictions about how the increasing temperatures will affect the distribution of this species, as well as making the best biodiversity conservation management performance in this unique ecosystem.

Concluding Remarks

In this study, R_{fd} has been demonstrated as a more sensitive parameter for thermic damage assessment. The evaluation of F_v/F_m as the unique indicator of thermic damage could lead to an erroneous estimation of both temperature tolerance and acclimation at low and high temperatures. Thus, we propose the use of R_{fd} instead of F_v/F_m as indicator of thermic damage, determining for each species and light conditions the healthy R_{fd} values.

According to R_{fd} as indicator of thermic damage, low temperature acclimation was not enough to stop considering *P. lasiospermus* as a sensitive species compared with other alpine plants, since the lowest LT_{50} values achieved -9.9 ± 0.3ºC. On the contrary, any heat acclimation was observed, with LT_{50} values around 43.5ºC during the studied period. Thus, according to R_{fd} evaluation of thermic threshold, this species could be in risk of damage for low temperatures in this ecosystem.

References

Acebes J.R., León M.C., Rodríguez M.L. *et al.*: Pteridophyta, Spermatophyta. – In: Arechavaleta M., Rodríguez S., Zurita N., García A. (ed.): Lista de especies silvestres de Canarias. Hongos, plantas y animales terrestres. Gobierno de Canarias. Santa Cruz de Tenerife 2010 [Spanish].

Allen D.J., Ratner K., Giller Y.E. *et al.*: An overnight chill induces a delayed inhibition of photosynthesis at midday in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). – J. Exp. Bot. 51: 1893-1902, 2000.

Baker N.R.: Chlorophyll Fluorescence: A Probe of Photosynthesis *In Vivo*. – Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59: 89-113, 2008.

Bannister P.: A touch of frost? Cold hardiness of plants in the Southern Hemisphere. – New Zealand Journal of Botany 45: 1-33, 2007.

Bannister P., Lord J.M.: Comparative winter frost resistance of plant species from southern Africa, Australia, New Zealand, and South America grown in a common environment (Dunedin, New Zealand). – New Zealand Journal of Botany 44(2): 109-119, 2006.

Bannister P., Maegli T., Dickinson K.J.M. *et al.*: Will loss of snow cover during climatic warming expose New Zealand alpine plants to increased frost damage? – Oecologia 144: 245-256, 2005.

Balota M., Lichtenthaler H.K.: Red Chlorophyll Fluorescence as an Ecophysiological method to assess the Behaviour of Wheat Genotypes under drought and heat. – Cereal Res. Commun. 22: 179-187, 1999.

Beniston M.: Climatic change in mountain regions: a review of possible impacts. Climatic Change 59: 5-31, 2003.

Bilger H.W., Schreiber U., Lange O.L.: Determination of leaf heat resistance: comparative investigation of chlorophyll fluorescence changes and tissue necrosis methods. – Oecologia 63: 256-262, 1984.

Braun V., Buchner O., Neuner G.: Thermotolerance of photosystem 2 of three alpine plant species under field conditions. – Photosynthetica 40(4): 587-595, 2002.

Briceño V.F., Harris-Pascal D., Nicotra A.B. *et al.*: Variation in snow cover drives differences in frost resistance in seedlings of the alpine herb Aciphylla glacialis. – Environ. Exp. Bot. 106: 174-181, 2014.

Buchner O., Karadar M., Bauer I., Neuner G.: A novel system for in situ determination of heat tolerance of plants: first results on alpine dwarf shrubs. – Plant Methods 9:7, 2013.

Buchner O.,Neuner G.: Variability of Heat Tolerance in Alpine Plant Species Measured at Different Altitudes – Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 35(4): 411-420, 2003.

Cabrera M.: Ecophysiological responses of plants in ecosystems with Mediterranean-like climate and high mountain environments. – Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 75: 625-637, 2002 [Spanish].

Chersich S., Rejšek K., Vranová V., Bordoni M., Meisina C.: Climate change impacts on the Alpine ecosystem: an overview with focus on the soil– a review. Journal of Forest Science 61: 496-514, 2015.

Cieraad E., McGlone M., Barbour M.M., Huntley B.: Seasonal Frost Tolerance of Trees in the New Zealand Treeline Ecotone. – Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res. 44(3): 332-342, 2012.

Dat J., Lopez-Delgado H., Foyer C.H., Scott I.M.: Parallel changes in H_2O_2 and catalase during thermotolerance induced by salicylic acid or heat acclimation in mustard seedling. – Plant. Physiol., 116: 1351-1357, 1998.

De Lobo A.F., De Barros M.P., Dalmagro H.J. *et al.*: Fitting net photosynthetic light-response curves with Microsoft Excel – a critical look at the models. – Photosynthetica 51: 445-456, 2013.

Del-Arco M., Pérez-de-Paz P.L., Acebes J.R. *et al.*: Bioclimatology and climatophilous vegetation of Tenerife (Canary Islands). – Ann. Bot. Fennici 43: 167-192, 2006.

Del-Arco M.: Consecuencias del cambio climático sobre la flora y vegetación canaria. – In: Méndez J.M., Vázquez M. (ed.). El Cambio Climático en Canarias. – Academia Canaria de Ciencias. Serie Monografías Pp: 79-100. ISBN: 978-84-612-2434-0, 2008 [Spanish].

Devacht S., Lootens P., Baert J. *et al.*: Evaluation of cold stress of young industrial chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) plants by chlorophyll *a* fluorescence imaging. I. Light induction curve. – Photosynthetica 49: 161-171, 2011.

Ehlert, B., Hincha D.K.: Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging accurately quantifies freezing damage and cold acclimation responses in *Arabidopsis* leaves. – Plant Methods 4: 12-19, 2008.

Enami I., Kitamura M., Tomo T., Isokawa Y., Ohta H., Katoh S.: Is the primary cause of thermal inactivation of oxygen evolution in spinach PS II membranes release of the extrinsic 33 kDa protein or of Mn? – Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1186: 52-58, 1994.

Evangelista A., Frate L., Carranza M.L., Attorre F., Pelino G., Stanisci A.: Changes in composition, ecology and structure of high-mountain vegetation: a re-visitation study over 42 years. – AoB Plants 8: plw004; doi:10.1093/aobpla/plw004, 2016.

Fisker S.E., Rose R., Haase D.L.: Chlorophyll fluorescence as a measure of cold hardiness and freezing stress in 1 + 1 douglas-Fir seedlings. – Forest Science 41(3): 564-575, 1995.

Flexas J., Badger M., Chow W.S. *et al.*: Analysis of the relative increase in photosynthesic O_2 uptake when photosynthesis in grapevine leaves is inhibited following low night temperatures and/or water stress. – Plant Physiol. 121: 675-684, 1999.

Flexas J., Escalona J.M., Evain S. *et al.*: Steady-state chlorophyll fluorescence (F_s) measurements as a tool to follow variations of net CO_2 assimilation and stomatal conductance during water-stress in C3 plants. – Physiol. Plantarum 114: 231-240, 2002.

Germino M.J., Smith W.K.: High resistance to low-temperature photoinhibition in two alpine, snowbank species. – Physiol. Plantarum 110:98-95, 2000.

González-Rodríguez Á.M., Jiménez M.S., Morales D.: Seasonal and intraspecific variation of frost tolerance in leaves of three Canarian laurest forest tree species. – Ann. For. Sci. 62: 423-428, 2005.

Haitz M., Lichtenthaler H.K.: The measurement of R_{fd}-values as plant vitality indices with the portable field fluorometer and the PAM-fluorometer. – In: Lichtenthaler, H.K. (ed.): Applications of Chlorophyll Fluorescence. Pp. 249-254. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht – Boston – London 1988.

Hendrickson L., Vlčková A., Selstam E. *et al.*: Cold acclimation of the *Arabidopsis* dgd1 mutant results in recovery from photosystem I-limited photosynthesis. – FEBS letters 580: 4959-4968, 2006.

Hincha D.K., Sieg F., Bakaltcheva I., Köth H., Schmitt J.M.: Freeze-thaw damage to thylakoid membranes: specific protection by sugars and proteins. – In: Steponkus P.L. (ed.): Low-temperature Biology. Pp: 141-183. JAI PRESS LTD, London, 1996.

Horgan D.B., Zabkiewicz J.A.: Fluorescence decline ratio: comparison with quantum yield ratio for plant physiological status and herbicide treatment responses. – NZ Plant Protection 61:169-173, 2008.

Huner N.P.A., Öquist G., Sarhan F.: Energy balance and acclimation to light and cold. – Trends Plant Sci. 3: 224-230, 1998.

Körner, C.: Climatic stress. – In: Körner C. (ed.): Alpine Plant Life. Functional Plant Ecology of High Mountain Ecosystems Pp. 101-114. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 2003.

Larcher W. (ed.): Functional Disturbances and Injury Patterns. – In: Physiological Plant Ecology. Ecophysiology and Stress Physiology of Functional Groups. Pp. 374-375. Springer, Berlin 2003a.

Larcher W. (ed.): Stress Due to Extreme Temperatures. – In: Physiological Plant Ecology. Ecophysiology and Stress Physiology of Functional Groups. Pp. 364-367. Springer, Berlin 2003b.

Larcher W. (ed.): Survival Capacity Under Heat stress. – In: Physiological Plant Ecology. Ecophysiology and Stress Physiology of Functional Groups. Pp. 375-380. Springer, Berlin 2003c.

Larcher W., Kainmüller C., Wagner J.: Survival types of high mountain plants under extreme temperatures. – Flora 205: 3-18, 2010.

Larkindale J., Huang B.: Changes of lipid composition and saturation level in leaves and roots for heat-stressed and heat-acclimated creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*). – Environ. Exp. Bot. 51: 57-67, 2004.

Lichtenthaler H.K., Buschmann C., Knapp N.: How to correctly determine the different chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and the chlorophyll fluorescence decrease ratio R_{fd} of leaves with the PAM fluorometer. – Photosynthetica 43: 379-393, 2005a.

Lichtenthaler H.K., Buschmann C., Rinderle U., Schmuck G.: Application of chlorophyll fluorescence in ecophysiology. –Radiat. Environ. – Biophys. 25: 297-308, 1986.

Lichtenthaler H.K., Langsdorf G., Lenk S., Buschmann C.: Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging of photosynthetic activity with the flash-lamp fluorescence imaging system. – Photosynthetica 43: 355-369, 2005b.

Lichtenthaler H.K., Rinderle U.: The role of chlorophyll fluorescence in the detection of stress conditions in plants. – CRC crit. Rev. Anal. Chem. 19: 29-85, 1988.

Martín J.L., Bethencourt J., Cuevas-Agulló E.: Assessment of global warming on the island of Tenerife, Canary Islands (Spain). Trends in minimum, maximum and mean temperatures since 1944. – Climatic Change 114: 343-355, 2012.

Martín V.E., Wildpret W.: Evolución de la flora y vegetación en las Cañadas del Teide en los últimos cincuenta años (1946-1996). In: Fernández A. (ed.) – Estudios Canarios. Anuario del Instituto de Estudios Canarios en La Universidad de La Laguna Pp. 9-30. La Laguna, 1999.

Martínez-Peñalver A., Reigosa M.J., Sánchez-Moreiras A.M.: Imaging chlorophyll *a* fluorescence reveals specific spatial distributions under different stress conditions. – Flora 206: 836-844, 2011.

Mishra A., Hájek J., Tuháčková T., Barták M., Mishra K.B.: Features of chlorophyll fluorescence transients can be used to investigate low temperature induced effects on photosystem II of algal lichens from polar regions. – Czech polar reports 5: 99-111, 2015.

Mishra A., Heyet A.G., Mishra K.B.: Chlorophyll fluorescence emission can screen cold tolerance of cold acclimated *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. – Plant Methods 10:38, 2014.

Murkowski A.: Heat stress and spermidine: effect on chlorophyll fluorescence in tomato plants. – Biol. Plantarum 44(1): 53-57, 2001.

Myers N., Mittermeier R.A., Mittermeier C.G., da Fonseca G.A.B., Kent J.: Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. – Nature 403: 853-858, 2000.

Neuner G., Buchner O.: Assessment of foliar frost damage comparison of *in vivo* fluorescence with other viability tests. – J. Appl. Bot. 73: 50-54, 1999.

Neuner G., Pramsohler M.: Freezing and high temperature thresholds of photosystem 2 compared to ice nucleation, frost and heat damage in evergreen subalpine plants. – Physiol. Plantarum 126: 196-204, 2006.

Pauli H., Gottfried M., Dirnböck T., Dullinger S., Grabherr G.: Assessing the long-term dynamics of endemic plants at summit habitats. – In: Nagy L., Grabherr G., Körner C., Thompson D.B.A., (ed.): Alpine biodiversity in Europe. Pp. 195-207. Springer, Berlin 2003.

Pauli H., Gottfried M., Dullinger S. *et al.*: Recent plant diversity changes on Europe's mountain summits. Science 336: 353-355, 2012.

Pescador D.S., Sierra-Almeida Á., Torres P.J., Escudero A.: Summer Freezing Resistance: A Critical Filter for Plant Community Assemblies in Mediterranean High Mountains. – Front. Plant Sci.: doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00194, 2016.

Pflug E., Brüggermann W.: Frost-acclimation of photosynthesis in overwintering Mediterranean holm oak, grown in Central Europe. – International Journal of Plant Biology: doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/pb.2012.e1, 2012.

Rodríguez N., Notario J., Arbelo C.D., Rodríguez-Rodríguez A., Guerra J.A.: Spatial variability of soil properties and soils classification in Teide National Park (Tenerife, Canary Islands). – In: Arrouays D., McKenzie N., Hempel J., Richer A., McBratney A. (ed.): GlobalSoilMap. Basis of the global spatial soil information system Pp. 191-196. London 2014.

Ruelland E., Zachowski A.: How plants sense temperature. – Environ. Exp. Bot. 69(3): 225-232, 2010.

Sakai A., Larcher W.: Frost Resistance in Plants. – In: Billings W.D., Galley E., Lange O.L, Olson S., Remmert H. (ed.): Ecological Studies Vol. 62. Frost Survival of Plants. Responses and Adaptation to Freezing Stress Pp. 138-153. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1987.

Sassenrath G.F., Donald R.O., Portis A.R.: Impaired reductive activation of stromal bisphosphatases in tomato leaves following low-temperature exposure at high light. – Arch. Biochem. and Biophys. 282(2): 302-308, 1990.

Schreiber U., Bilger W.: Rapid Assessment of stress effects on plant leaves by chlorophyll fluorescence measurements. Presented at Nato Advanced Research Workshop, Sesimbra, Portugal 1985.

Seber G.A.F., Wild C.J.: Nonlinear Regression. – Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken 2003.

Sheng X., Jianlong L., Xinquan Z., Hong W., Langjun C.: Effects of heat acclimation pretreatment on changes of membrane lipid peroxidation, antioxidant metabolites, and ultrastructure of chloroplast in two cool-season turfgrass species under heat stress. – Environ. Exp. Bot. 56: 274-285, 2006.

Sierra-Almeida A., Cavieres L.: Summer freezing resistance of high-elevation plant species changes with ontogeny. – Environ. Exp. Bot. 80: 10-15, 2012.

Sierra-Almeida A., Cavieres L., Bravo L.A.: Freezing resistance varies within the growing season and with elevation in high Andean species of central Chile. – New Phytol. 182: 461-469, 2009.

Sierra-Almeida A., Cavieres L.A.: Summer freezing resistance decreased in high-elevation plants exposed to experimental warming in the central Chilean Andes. – Oecologia 163(1): 267-276, 2010a.

Sierra-Almeida A., Cavieres L., Bravo L.A: Freezing resistance of high-elevation plant species is not related to their height or growth-form in the Central Chilean Andes. – Environ. Exp. Bot. 69: 273-278, 2010b.

Sventenius E.S.: Notas sobre la Flora de las Cañadas de Tenerife. Ministerio de Agricultura, Madrid 1946 [Spanish].

Taschler D., Neuner G.: Summer frost resistance and freezing patterns measured in situ in leaves of major alpine plant growth forms in relation to their upper distribution boundary. – Plant Cell Physiol. 27: 737-746, 2004.

Thomashow M.F.: Plant cold acclimation: Freezing tolerance genes and regulatory mechanisms. – Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 50: 571-99, 1999.

Venn S.E., Morgan J.W., Lord J.M.: Foliar freezing resistance of Australian alpine plants over the growing season. – Austral Ecol. 38: 152-161, 2013.

Wahid A., Gelani S., Ashraf M., Foolad M.R.: Heat tolerance in plants: An overview. – Environ. Exp. Bot. 64: 199-223, 2007.

Xin Z., Browse J.: Cold comfort farm: the acclimation of plants to freezing temperatures. – Plant Cell Environ. 20: 893-902, 2000.

Figures legends

Figure 1. Daily minimum absolute, maximum absolute and mean air temperatures of the studied area. Essays: E1 (17th December), E2 (14th February), E3 (25th February) and E4 (24th July).

Figure 2. F_v/F_m and R_{fd} values correlation in *P. lasiospermus*. High temperatures (*A*) include data from 20ºC to 50ºC treatments. Low temperatures (*B*) include data from 0ºC to –20ºC treatments (E4 data excluded). Open circles: low temperatures data of E4 (24th July). *p*-value<0.05 in both regression models.

Figure 3. Percentage of damage at high and low temperature treatments in *P. lasiospermus* using F_v/F_m and R_{fd} percentage of control reduction as indicators of damage in four different moments during the studied period. Data are the mean values ± standard error (*n*=5–9).

Figure 4. Changes in LT_{50} values obtained from F_v/F_m (close circles) and R_{fd} (open circles) through the year at high (*A*) and low (*B*) temperatures. Estimated mean values ± confidence interval obtained by the regression model. *p*-value<0.05.